



## PLANNING COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 12 JULY 2023

11.30 AM COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNTY HALL, LEWES

MEMBERSHIP - Councillor Tom Liddiard (Chair)  
Vacancy (Vice Chair), Councillors Abul Azad, Godfrey Daniel, Kathryn Field,  
Eleanor Kirby-Green and Pat Rodohan

### A G E N D A

1. Minutes of the meeting held on 15 February 2023 (*Pages 3 - 4*)
2. Apologies for absence
3. Disclosures of interests  
Disclosures by all members present of personal interests in matters on the agenda, the nature of any interest and whether the member regards the interest as prejudicial under the terms of the Code of Conduct.
4. Urgent items  
Notification of items which the Chair considers to be urgent and proposes to take at the appropriate part of the agenda. Any members who wish to raise urgent items are asked, wherever possible, to notify the Chair before the start of the meeting. In so doing, they must state the special circumstances which they consider justify the matter being considered urgent.

### **Traffic Regulation Orders - report(s) by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport**

5. Rother Parking Review 2022 (*Pages 5 - 44*)  
Report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport
6. Development Management Matters (*Pages 45 - 76*)  
Report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport
7. Any other items previously notified under agenda item 4

PHILIP BAKER  
Assistant Chief Executive  
County Hall, St Anne's Crescent  
LEWES BN7 1UE

4 July 2023

Contact Sophie Webb, Governance and Democracy Officer,  
01273 337495  
Email: [sophie.webb@eastsussex.gov.uk](mailto:sophie.webb@eastsussex.gov.uk)

NOTE: As part of the County Council's drive to increase accessibility to its public meetings, this meeting will be broadcast live on its website and the record archived. The live broadcast is accessible at: [www.eastsussex.gov.uk/yourcouncil/webcasts/default.htm](http://www.eastsussex.gov.uk/yourcouncil/webcasts/default.htm)

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES of a meeting of the Planning Committee held at Council Chamber, County Hall, Lewes on 15 February 2023.

---

PRESENT Councillors Tom Liddiard (Chair), Barry Taylor (Vice Chair), Pat Rodohan, Philip Lunn and Paul Redstone

LEAD MEMBERS Councillor Bob Bowdler

ALSO PRESENT Councillors Sam Adeniji, Chris Collier and Christine Robinson

### 15. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 13 JULY 2022

15.1 The Committee approved as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 13 July 2022.

### 16. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

16.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Azad, Field and Kirby-Green.

16.2 It was noted that Councillors Lunn and Redstone were in attendance as substitutes for Councillors Azad and Kirby-Green.

### 17. DISCLOSURES OF INTERESTS

17.1 Councillor Philip Lunn declared a personal interest in item 6 as a Wealden District Councillor. He did not consider this to be prejudicial.

### 18. URGENT ITEMS

18.1 There were none.

### 19. REPORTS

19.1 Reports referred to in the minutes below are contained in the minute book.

### 20. LEWES PARKING REVIEW

20.1 The Committee considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport.

20.2 Ms Deirdre Morris spoke in support of the proposal and officer's recommendation regarding Site 4 Esplanade, Seaford.

20.3 Councillor Liddiard reported that Local Members Councillor Carolyn Lambert was in support of the recommendations for sites 2, 3 and 4 and Councillor Wendy Maples was in support of the recommendations for sites 1 and 5 but did not support the recommendations for site 7.

20.4 The Committee Members have considered the report, comments of the public speakers and views of Local Members and agree with the officer's conclusion and reasons for recommendation set out in paragraph 2 of the report.

20.5 The Committee RESOLVED to:

- (1) Uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 1 of the report.
- (2) Not uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 2 of the report.
- (3) Recommend to the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport that the Traffic Regulation Order be made in part.

21. DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT MATTERS

21.1 The Committee considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport which detailed the site monitoring and enforcement work completed in the last nine months (1 April 2022 to 31 December 2022).

21.2 The Committee RESOLVED to note the report.

(The meeting ended at 11.07 am)

CHAIRMAN

Committee:	<b>Planning Regulatory Committee</b>
Date:	<b>12 July 2023</b>
Report by:	<b>Director of Communities, Economy and Transport</b>
Title of Report:	<b>Traffic Regulation Order – Rother Parking Review 2022</b>
Purpose of Report:	<b>To consider the objections received in response to the formal consultation on the draft Traffic Regulation Order associated with the Rother Parking Review</b>
Contact Officer:	<b>Daniel Clarke– tel. 01273 464057</b>
Local Members:	<b>Councillors Abul Azad, Charles Clark, Kathryn Field, Nuala Geary, Keith Glazier, Ian Hollidge, Carl Maynard, and Paul Redstone.</b>

---

## **RECOMMENDATION**

**The Planning Committee is recommended to:**

- 1. Uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 1 of this report.**
  - 2. Uphold, in part, the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 2 to this report.**
  - 3. Not uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 3 of this report.**
  - 4. Recommend to the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport that the Traffic Regulation Order be made in part.**
- 

## **CONSIDERATION BY DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITIES, ECONOMY AND TRANSPORT.**

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1** Requests for new or for changes to existing parking and waiting restrictions in the Rother District area are held on a priority ranking database, with those requests ranking high enough being progressed to consultation. Informal consultations began in July 2022 to see whether there was enough support to introduce controls such as double yellow lines or changes to permit parking schemes in the district. This is the first Parking Review undertaken in Rother District since Civil Parking Enforcement was introduced.
- 1.2** Feedback from the consultations led to formal proposals being developed. These formal proposals were advertised, together with the draft Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) (a copy of which is attached at Appendix 4) in the Bexhill Observer on 24 February 2023. Notices and copies of the relevant plans were placed on posts and lamp-columns in the affected areas. Approximately 6150 letters were delivered to local addresses and the consultation was placed on the Council's Consultation Hub for any member of the public to comment. The formal period for representations to be made ended on 17 March 2023.
- 1.3** Copies of the formal proposals were sent to relevant District Councillors, County Councillors, Parish/Town Council's and statutory consultees including the emergency services. Copies of all supporting correspondence are available in the Members' Room and have also been made available to Planning Committee members in electronic format.
- 1.4** During the formal consultation 1133 items of correspondence were received. These include 601 objections and 532 items of support. Seventeen of the objections have since been withdrawn. All

objections made that have requested restrictions go further or requests for additional restrictions have been added to the request log for the next Rother review.

## **2. Comments and Appraisal**

2.1 Each item of correspondence has been considered individually and a summary of the objections and officer comments are included in Appendices 1, 2 and 3. Again full copies of all correspondence have been made available to Members. Plans and photographs showing the areas objected to are included in the Additional Information Pack.

2.2 Following consideration of the responses, it is recommended to uphold the objections summarised in Appendix 1 and withdraw the proposals at the following site:

- Bridge Road, Rye

Officers are satisfied that the objections received to this proposal do provide sufficient grounds to warrant its withdrawal.

2.3 Following consideration of the responses, it is recommended to modify the following proposals (summarised in Appendix 2):

- Military Road and North Salts, Rye – modify the proposal to withdraw the permit scheme, keeping the no waiting at any time markings at the junction to North Salts and directly opposite;
- Penland Road and Dorset Road, Bexhill – modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time markings;
- London Road and Sedgewick Road, Bexhill – modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time markings;
- Whitesand Drive, Badgers Way, Baker Way, Linnet Lane and Scotts Avenue, Camber – modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time markings;
- Millfield Rise and Larkhill, Bexhill – modify the proposal by removing a bay;
- Woodville Road, Bexhill – modify the proposal by removing two bays;
- De La Warr Road and Dorset Road, Bexhill – modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time markings;

Officers are satisfied that these modifications do not involve a substantial change to the draft Order and it is unnecessary to consult again on their implementation.

2.4 With regard to objections relating to the sites listed below and as set out in Appendix 3, it is not considered that these objections provide sufficient grounds to warrant the modification or withdrawal of the proposals, and the proposals provide for the most efficient use of parking space. It is considered that these objections should not be upheld. A number of the objections received on many of these sites are seeking additional/extended restrictions to those that were consulted upon. As such measures were not consulted upon, it is not possible to uphold those particular objections and make

much additions and extensions to those restrictions already consulted upon. The sites objected to and where it is recommended that the objections are not upheld are;

- Glengorse (Battle);
- High Street (Battle);
- Sunny Rise and North Trade Road (Battle);
- Hastings Road, The Spinney, Starrs Mead and Starr's Green Lane (Battle);
- Ninfield Road, Turkey Road and All Saints Lane (Bexhill);
- Barrack Road, Crowmere Avenue, Silvester Road and Hanover Close (Bexhill);
- Chantry Avenue, Wrestwood Road and Abbey View (Bexhill);
- Peartree Lane (Bexhill);
- Church Hill Avenue and Shepherd's Close (Bexhill);
- Cooden Drive, Richmond Avenue and Westcourt Drive (Bexhill);
- Dorset Road (Bexhill);
- Hollier's Hill, Chantry Avenue, Jacob's Acre and Church Vale Road (Bexhill);
- Richmond Road, Cooden Drive, Richmond Grove and West Parade (Bexhill);
- Royston Gardens and Third Avenue (Bexhill);
- Terminus Road (Bexhill);
- Warwick Road (Bexhill);
- Denham Way and Peter James Close (Camber);
- Main Street (Northiam);
- George Hill, Blenheim Court and George Close (Robertsbridge);
- Harbour Road (Rye);
- Landgate (Rye);
- Bodiam Road, Weald View and Sheringham Close (Staplecross);
- Moor Lane, Main Road, Wheel Lane, Cottage Lane and Workhouse Lane (Westfield);
- Brassey Road (Bexhill);
- Bolebrooke Road and Middlesex Road (Bexhill);
- De La Warr Parade (Bexhill);
- Knole Road (Bexhill);
- Linden Road and Albany Road (Bexhill);
- St Leonards Road, Wilton Road and Sea Road (Bexhill);
- Jameson Road (Bexhill);
- Eversley Road and Wilton Road (Bexhill);
- Bedford Avenue (Bexhill);
- Cantelupe Road (Bexhill);
- De La Warr Parade and Bethune Road (Bexhill);
- Dorset Road South (Bexhill);
- Lionel Road (Bexhill);
- Sutton Place (Bexhill);
- Amherst Road and Mitten Road (Bexhill);
- Mitten Road and New Park Avenue (Bexhill);
- Reginald Road, Windsor Road, Leopold Road, Victoria Road and Terminus Road (Bexhill);
- Brockley Road (Bexhill);
- Cornwall Road (Bexhill);
- Egerton Road (Bexhill);
- Park Road (Bexhill);
- West Parade and South Cliff (Bexhill), and;
- Wickham Avenue (Bexhill).

2.5 It is also recommended that all other proposals not objected to should be implemented as advertised.

### **3. Conclusion and reasons for approval**

3.1 The approach in trying to resolve objections to the Order has been to appraise the concerns raised by residents and other road users, whilst not compromising road safety or other factors. Objections on one of the sites are considered to merit the withdrawal of the proposal. On balance, some objections can be accepted, and some minor modifications can be incorporated into the Order, whilst with the rest of the objections, officers consider that, for highway and road safety reasons, the

remaining objections (as set out in Appendix 3) should not be upheld and the proposals in these areas should proceed as per the draft TRO as advertised.

- 3.2 It is therefore recommended for the reasons set out in this report, that the Planning Committee upholds the objections in Appendix 1, upholds in part the objections in Appendix 2, does not uphold the objections in Appendix 3, and recommends to the Director of Communities, Economy, and Transport that the Order be made in part.

**RUPERT CLUBB**

Director of Communities, Economy and Transport



## **Appendix 1 – Proposals where objections are proposed to be upheld**

### **1. Site 1 Bridge Place, Rye (Councillor Keith Glazier)**

- 1.1 The proposal at this location is to install a Blue Badge Holders Only bay for the resident of no. 11.
- 1.2 6 objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the resident no longer lives at the address.
- 1.3 The proposal follows requests by the resident of no. 11 for a disabled bay. It has been subsequently confirmed by officers that the applicant no longer lives at the address.
- 1.4 Having considered all the objections, officers are satisfied that there are sufficient grounds to withdraw the proposal.
- 1.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not replied to confirm whether he agrees with the recommendation.
- 1.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold the objections and withdraw the proposal.

This page is intentionally left blank

## **Appendix 2 – Proposals where objections are upheld in part**

### **1. Site 2 Military Road and North Salts, Rye (Councillor Keith Glazier)**

- 1.1 The proposal at this location is to implement a permit scheme for the residents of Military Road and North Salts and install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the junction of North Salts and opposite on the west side of Military Road to protect the junction.
- 1.2 166 objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposed permit scheme does not meet the needs of the residents as multiple resident permits and visitor permits cannot be guaranteed. The proposals will not solve the issue of commuters and residents from other parts of Rye parking in Military Road, but simply push the problem further away. Of these 166 objections, forty-nine made mention of the no waiting at any time restrictions as a whole, with twenty-one on the grounds that they should extend all the way to the town boundary. These objections to the no waiting at any time proposals are of the view that extensive restrictions will increase the speed of vehicles along Military Road. A total of thirty-one items support the introduction of these restrictions. Several comments suggest no waiting at any time along the west side, and concede that there is a need to protect the junction to North Salts.
- 1.3 The proposal follows requests by residents for permit bays to alleviate the heavy parking in Military Road by commuters, visitors and holiday makers avoiding paying car park charges.
- 1.4 Having considered all the objections, officers are satisfied that there are sufficient grounds to withdraw the proposed permit scheme but continue with the junction protection markings.
- 1.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not replied to confirm whether he agrees with the recommendation.
- 1.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, certain objections and to modify the proposal by removing the proposed permit scheme and parking bays, and installing no waiting at any time restrictions at the junction to North Salts and opposite outside no. 14 Military Road.

### **2. Site 3 Penland Road and Dorset Road (Councillor Charles Clark)**

- 2.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Dorset Road at its junction with Penland Road.
- 2.2 One objection has been received from a resident on the grounds that the proposals extend too far, and they wish to have the area in front of their

driveway left clear as they feel the access protection marking they currently have is respected. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

- 2.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for junction protection markings as vehicles park very close to the junction.
- 2.4 It is however recognised that the proposals can be modified slightly by reducing it by 11m on the south side of Dorset Road outside no. 138 while maintaining safety at the junction.
- 2.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not replied to confirm whether he agrees with the recommendation.
- 2.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objection and to modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time restriction, ending at the east side of the driveway of no.138.

### **3. Site 4 London Road and Sedgewick Road, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)**

- 3.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the junction of London Road and Sedgewick Road, and to formalise an existing Blue Badge Holders Only bay.
- 3.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposals extend too far and remove too many parking spaces.
- 3.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for the Blue Badge Holders bay to be formalised and a need to introduce junction protection markings to bring Sedgewick Road in line with the other junctions in London Road.
- 3.4 It is however recognised that the proposals can be modified slightly so as to not remove too many parking spaces by reducing the proposal by 5m on both sides of Sedgewick Road and by 5m on the south side of London Road.
- 3.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not replied to confirm whether he agrees with the recommendation.
- 3.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time restrictions.

### **4. Site 5 Whitesand Drive, Badger Way, Baker Way, Linnet Lane and Scotts Acre (Councillor Keith Glazier)**

- 4.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Whitesand Drive at the junctions of Scotts Acre, Badger Way, Baker Way and Linnet Lane.
- 4.2 Objections have been received from local residents, one on the grounds that the proposals extend too far across their frontage and request this be reduced slightly so that they may park in front of their property (1 Scotts Acre). One objection requests the restrictions extend further along the north side of Whitesand Drive across the entrance to the car port between nos. 40 and 42. Two objections received on the grounds that the proposal does not go far enough and will displace vehicles to the west end of Whitesand Drive, and one objection comments that the proposal is a waste of money. Two items of support were received on this proposal.
- 4.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for restrictions to protect junctions especially during weekends and bank holidays at peak tourist times.
- 4.4 We cannot introduce restrictions that go further than what was advertised, it is however recognised that the proposals can be modified slightly as to allow parking in front of 1 Scotts Acre.
- 4.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not replied to confirm whether he agrees with the recommendation.
- 4.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time restrictions by 5m at the junction to Scotts Acre on the south side.

## **5. Site 6 Millfield Rise and Larkhill, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

- 5.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays, no waiting at any time restrictions and permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays in Millfield Rise, and new permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions in Larkhill as part of the extension to Zone N.
- 5.2 Twenty objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that there aren't currently any issues with parking, by placing no waiting at any time restrictions across driveways will remove too many spaces that residents utilise as they feel their driveways are not fit for purpose, and the proposed bay opposite the junction with Larkhill should be removed to allow larger vehicles to turn safely. Objections received also concerned that the proposed pay and display charges are too low and will only inconvenience residents, and that the bays outside 17 Larkhill should be moved opposite to the east side. Twenty-one items of support were received on this proposal.

- 5.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents to extend permit zone N as many commuters now park here due to displacement.
- 5.4 We cannot introduce restrictions that go further than what was advertised, it is however recognised that the proposals can be modified slightly as to remove the proposed bay opposite the junction with Larkhill.
- 5.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.
- 5.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by removing the proposed bay opposite the junction to Larkhill to allow enough space for large vehicles to turn.

**6. Site 7 Woodville Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

- 6.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only 8am-6pm bays on the north side, permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm bays on the south side and new no waiting at any time restrictions.
- 6.2 Five objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the introduction of no waiting at any time restrictions at the east end will affect property values, will remove too many parking spaces, and that the proposals should be for permit holders only on both sides of the road. Eighty-eight items of support were received on the proposals.
- 6.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W.
- 6.4 The issues raised by the objections are not considered to merit the withdrawal of the proposals, particularly in light of the significant support received. It is however recognised that the proposals can be modified slightly as to remove the proposed bays outside nos. 11 and 42 as driveway crossovers have been constructed.
- 6.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.
- 6.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by removing proposed bays outside nos. 11 and 42.

**7. Site 8 De La Warr Road and Dorset Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

- 7.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the east end of De La Warr Road and the north of Dorset Road at their junctions with King Offa Way.

- 7.2 Seven objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that they will no longer be able to park outside of their property on Dorset Road, the proposal will increase the speed of traffic at the top of Dorset Road as motorists will have an uninterrupted view when turning left into De La Warr Road, the junction with Manor Road will cause too much vehicle displacement and will create a bottle neck in De La Warr Road. A request was received for further no waiting at any time restrictions on the north side of De La Warr Road to protect their access. Objections also make comments about the cost of living crisis, paying to park and that more restrictions will stop them from visiting the town. Eight items of support were received on this proposal.
- 7.3 The proposal follows multiple requests for junction protection markings at these locations, especially at the junction with Manor Road. This a local bus route and buses often have difficulty navigating parked cars on the south side of De La Warr Road between Manor Road and Dorset Road.
- 7.4 It is however recognised that the proposals can be modified slightly so as to reduce the no waiting at time restriction at the north of Dorset Road by 10m on the west side.
- 7.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.
- 7.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by reducing the no waiting at any time restrictions on the west side of Dorset Road to the boundary of nos. 83 and 85.

This page is intentionally left blank



## **Appendix 3 – Proposals where objections are not upheld and are proposed to be implemented as advertised**

### **1. Site 9 Glengorse, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)**

1.1 The proposal at this location is to replace the 19 existing permit holders or time limited Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays.

1.2 Eight objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that it will encourage commuters to park as it would be cheaper than Battle Station car park, there wouldn't be enough spaces for residents and the proposals ignore the wishes of residents.

1.3 The proposal follows monitoring of the area and a need to better utilise the available kerb space.

1.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. At present there are a total of 31 parking spaces with 9 active permits issued. The proposals are set out to increase the usage while maintaining space for residents and those wishing to park without charge for 2 hours.

1.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **2. Site 10 High Street, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)**

2.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay by extending the Blue Badge Holders Only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay, and to replace goods vehicle loading only Mon to Sat 6am-5pm with goods vehicle loading only 6am-5pm for all days of the week.

2.2 One objection has been received from a local business objecting to a restriction already in place and not relating to the proposal.

2.3 The proposal follows a request to increase the provision of disabled parking and increase the days of operation of the loading bay.

2.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

2.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **3. Site 11 High Street, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)**

3.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay by extending the Blue Badge Holders Only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay.

3.2 One objection has been received from a local business objecting to a restriction already in place and not relating to the proposal. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

3.3 The proposal follows a request to increase the provision of disabled parking.

3.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

3.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **4. Site 12 Sunny Rise and North Trade Road, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)**

4.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the junction to Sunny Rise.

4.2 Two objections have been received from members of the public making new requests unrelated to the proposal. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

4.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for junction protection markings.

4.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. New requests will be considered as part of the next Rother Parking Review.

4.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **5. Site 13 Hastings Road, The Spinney, Starrs Mead and Starr's Green Lane, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)**

5.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Hastings Road at the junction to Starr's Green Lane and The Spinney, continuing along the west side of The Spinney into its junction with Starrs Mead.

5.2 Two objections have been received from members of the public on the grounds that people don't park on the west side of The Spinney so the proposals are a waste of money.

5.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings due to an increase in vehicles parking close to the junctions.

5.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

5.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **6. Site 14 Ninfield Road, Turkey Road and All Saints Lane, Bexhill (Councillor Abul Azad)**

6.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Ninfield Road at the junction to Turkey Road and All Saints Lane, continuing along the north side of All Saints Lane to its junction with Ninfield Road, school keep clear no stopping Mon to Fri 8-9:30am and 2:30-4pm and no waiting Mon to Fri 8am-6pm on the south side of All Saints Lane.

6.2 Three objections have been received from members of the public on the grounds that there isn't enough parking for residents, objecting to restrictions already in place and seeking further restrictions in Turkey Road. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

6.3 The proposal follows requests from Councillor Ensor for school keep clear markings and from Councillor Azad for yellow lines. A request was also submitted by a local resident.

6.4 It has been noted that vehicles tend to park on the south side of All Saints Lane, the proposal for this section will allow residents to park here outside of the times of restriction. Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

6.5 Councillor Azad has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

6.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **7. Site 15 Barrack Road, Crowmere Avenue, Silvester Road and Hanover Close, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)**

7.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Barrack Road at its junctions with Hanover Close, Crowmere Avenue and Silvester Road.

7.2 Four objections have been received from members of the public on the grounds that vehicles will be displaced into Hanover Close, requesting restrictions be extended east to the junction with Pipers Close and requesting restrictions be extended outside of no. 34 Barrack Road to protect their access. One item of support was received on this proposal.

7.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings to allow larger vehicles such as emergency services vehicles to pass through.

7.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. It is not possible to implement restrictions beyond what has been consulted upon at this stage.

7.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

7.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **8. Site 16 Chantry Avenue, Wrestwood Road and Abbey View, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)**

8.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Wrestwood Road at its junctions with Abbey View and Chantry Avenue.

8.2 One objection has been received from a member of the public commenting on the cycle path which is unrelated to the proposals. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

8.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for junction protection markings.

8.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

8.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

8.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objection and install the proposal as advertised.

**9. Site 17 Peartree Lane, Bexhill (Councillor Nuala Geary)**

9.1 The proposal at this location is a TRO amendment to allow enforcement. The markings on street do not match the current TRO and we are looking to enforce the existing time limited Mon to Sat 2hrs 8am-6pm.

9.2 Two objections have been received, one from a resident on the grounds that the north bay is unsafe as the road narrows and vehicles must enter oncoming traffic to pass. The second objection left no comment. One item of support was received on this proposal.

9.3 The proposal follows a need to amend the TRO as currently it is unenforceable.

9.4 Having considered the objections, neither of which are considered relevant to the amendment that is being proposed, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

9.5 Councillor Geary has confirmed her support for the proposal to be implemented.

9.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**10. Site 18 Church Hill Avenue and Shepherd's Close, Bexhill (Councillor Nuala Geary)**

10.1 The proposal at this location is a TRO amendment to allow enforcement, the installation of a new Blue Badge Holders Only bay and formalisation of an existing Blue Badge Holders Only bay. The markings on street do not match the current TRO and we are looking to enforce the existing time limited Mon to Sat 2hrs 8am-6pm.

10.2 Two objections have been received from Bexhill residents on the grounds that not all residents have driveways, the proposals will make parking difficult for them and that there should be a resident permit area. One objection states that restrictions will only work if they are enforced. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

10.3 The proposal follows a need to amend the TRO as currently it is unenforceable. Blue Badge Holders Only bays are needed by residents of these roads.

10.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

10.5 Councillor Geary has confirmed her support for the proposal to be implemented.

10.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**11. Site 19 Cooden Drive, Richmond Avenue and Westcourt Drive, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

11.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions in Cooden Drive at its junctions with Richmond Avenue and Westcourt Drive.

11.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that vehicles have never parked in this location and is therefore unnecessary. Two objections comment on the review in general and do not comment specifically on this proposal. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

11.3 The proposal follows a request from residents for junction protection markings as a number of accidents have occurred at this crossroad.

11.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

11.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

11.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **12. Site 20 Dorset Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

12.1 The proposal at this location is to extend north no waiting at any time restrictions near its junction with Ashdown Road and install new no waiting at any time restrictions on the west side outside nos. 16-25.

12.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that current parked cars provide an incentive for traffic to obey the speed limit. Seven items of support were received on this proposal.

12.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for no waiting at any time markings on the bend of Dorset Road because vehicles are parking on the pavement causing an issue for pedestrians and buses.

12.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn, as the restriction will prevent vehicles from parking on the pavement and reducing the width of the pavement.

12.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

12.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **13. Site 21 Hollier's Hill, Chantry Avenue, Jacob's Acre and Church Vale Road, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)**

13.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Hollier's Hill at its junctions with Chantry Avenue, Church Vale Road and Jacobs Acre.

13.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that it would remove too many spaces for people visiting the hospital. One objection agrees that restrictions are needed, but the proposals should extend further.

13.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for no waiting at any time markings to protect the junctions along Hollier's Hill.

13.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

13.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

13.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**14. Site 22 Richmond Road, Cooden Drive, Richmond Grove and West Parade, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

14.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Richmond Road at its junctions with Cooden Drive and Richmond Grove, and to extend the no waiting at any time at the junction with West Parade on its east side to match the markings on the west side.

14.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that further junction protection markings are needed at the entrance to the flats and at Richmond Close, one objecting to the zone extensions, one requesting zone W extend into Richmond Road, one on the grounds that the proposal won't help residents and one requesting signs to deter people from sleeping in motorhomes due to potential displacement of vehicles from Brockley Road. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

14.3 The proposal follows a need to protect the junctions to allow for appropriate visibility for vehicles turning into and out of the junctions.

14.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

14.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

14.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**15. Site 23 Royston Gardens and Third Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)**

15.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Third Avenue at its junctions with Royston Gardens and First Avenue.

15.2 One objection has been received from a Bexhill resident on the grounds that further restrictions in Bexhill are unnecessary and one expressing the need for the markings to extend much further than proposed. One item of support was received on this proposal.

15.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings here to prevent vehicles from parking on the corners blocking line of sight.

15.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

15.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

15.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**16. Site 24 Terminus Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

16.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along the south side of Terminus Road.

16.2 Thirty-five objections have been received from local residents, 29 of which were from residents of Belmont requesting a speed restriction, a disabled bay and elderly residents warning signs, and hence were not related to the proposal. Four objections were received from police working at the station on the grounds that they would not be able to park nearby as the Wainwright car park is not suitably priced. One objection representing the residents of Collington Avenue was concerned that the extension to the zone will displace vehicles into their road. A further objection received from residents of Belmont was concerned with the consultation itself as they were disappointed they had to travel to County Hall to view the plans in person. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

16.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for no waiting at any time restrictions to prevent vehicles from mounting the kerbs on both north and south sides when they park.

16.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposals are necessary to prevent the current pavement parking which occurs.

16.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

16.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**17. Site 25 Warwick Road, Bexhill (Councillor Abul Azad)**

17.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at its junction with Little Common Road.

17.2 Three objections have been received from residents of Squirrel Close on the grounds that restrictions are needed at the junction of Little Common Road and Broadoak Lane. One item of support was received on this proposal.

17.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for no waiting at any time restrictions to protect the junction.

17.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

17.5 Councillor Azad has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

17.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**18. Site 26 Denham Way and Peter James Close, Camber (Councillor Keith Glazier)**

18.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the junctions.

18.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that the restrictions should run the full length of the west side, between nos. 13 to 18, and include the junction between nos. 26 and 30. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

18.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for no waiting at any time restrictions to protect the junctions and prevent vehicles from parking on the pavement.

18.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

18.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

18.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **19. Site 27 Main Street, Northiam (Councillor Paul Redstone)**

19.1 The proposal at this location is to replace the northern length of School Keep Clear markings with no waiting at any time restrictions. The southern length of School Keep Clear markings, which is outside of the school, would remain.

19.2 34 objections have been received, 28 of which requested that the School Keep Clear markings outside of Frewen Preparatory School be extended, which is not related to this proposal, that the markings were installed historically to provide a place for Northiam CE Primary School crossing patrol to operate, the installation of no waiting at any time markings allows Blue Badge Holders to park and is an unnecessary urbanisation, and that School Keep Clear markings should be extended, not removed. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

19.3 The proposal follows a request to remove the School Keep Clear markings as they far extend the boundary of the school. The Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions state 'The length of marking needs to be restricted to one which drivers will respect' and it is considered the current School Keep Clear markings exceed a length that would be respected.

19.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

19.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Redstone has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

19.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **20. Site 28 George Hill, Blenheim Court and George Close, Robertsbridge (Councillor Paul Redstone)**

20.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions on George Hill at its junctions with Blenheim Court and George Close.

20.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposal should extend along the west side from Blenheim Court to George Close, that the proposals will encourage vehicles to park on the east side of the road and suggesting speed cameras would help. Two items of support were received on this proposal.



20.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings to help them exiting Blenheim Court and George Close.

20.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as they will allow for suitable visibility for those exiting onto George Hill.

20.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Redstone has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

20.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **21. Site 29 Harbour Road, Rye (Councillor Keith Glazier)**

21.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Harbour Road from its junction with Winchelsea Road.

21.2 Two objections have been received from Icklesham Parish Council and a resident on the grounds that the proposal should extend further eastwards and reach as far as Kingdom Hall. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

21.3 The proposal follows a request from Rother District Councillor Hart and a resident for no waiting at any time restrictions in this location as vehicles park both sides of the road narrowing the road considerably.

21.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

21.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

21.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **22. Site 30 Landgate, Rye (Councillor Keith Glazier)**

22.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of Pay and Display with Loading Only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm.

22.2 Six objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that it will remove too many parking spaces and be empty the majority of the time. The objections are also of the view that few businesses need a loading bay in Landgate as most provide services and do not receive deliveries. One item of support was received on this proposal.

22.3 The proposal follows a request from a shop owner for a loading bay to service the shops in the street.

22.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposal will remove parking for approximately two cars and will ensure vehicles do not block the carriageway when they are loading and unloading.

22.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

22.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**23. Site 31 Bodiam Road, Weald View and Sheringham Close, Staplecross (Councillor Paul Redstone)**

23.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Bodiam Road at its junctions with Weald View and Sheringham Close.

23.2 Five objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that heavy parking only occurs during weekdays at school opening and closing times, with no problems occurring when the school is closed, and doesn't solve the main problem of more vehicles being used to transport children to school, it will simply displace vehicles into the side roads. Twenty-one items of support were received on this proposal.

23.3 The proposal follows multiple requests for restrictions outside the primary school.

23.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as they will allow for suitable visibility for those exiting onto Bodiam Road. Junctions should be kept clear at all times.

23.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Redstone has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

23.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**24. Site 32 Moor Lane, Main Road, Wheel Lane, Cottage Lane and Workhouse Lane, Westfield (Councillor Carl Maynard)**

24.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Main Road at the junctions with Wheel Lane and Moor Lane, and Cottage Lane and Workhouse Lane.

24.2 Three objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposed no waiting at any time restriction should extend to the school keep clear markings on the west side of Workhouse Lane and that vehicles do not park on Wheel Lane suggesting that speed reduction measures would be much more appropriate in this location. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

24.3 The proposal follows requests received before Civil Parking Enforcement was introduced for no waiting at any time restrictions along Main Road at these junctions.

24.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as they will allow for suitable visibility for those exiting onto Main Road.

24.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Maynard has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

24.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**25. Site 33 Proposed Zone Extensions, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

25.1 The proposal at this location is to extend permit zone C eastwards into Jameson Road, Cantelupe Road, Brassey Road, Knole Road, Middlesex Road and Bolebrooke Road; to extend permit zone E eastwards into Cantelupe Road, Lionel Road, Brookfield Road and Sutton Place; to extend permit zone N northwards into Amherst Road, Millfield Rise and Larkhill; and to extend permit zone W westwards into Wickham Avenue, Woodville Road, Brockley Road and South Cliff.

25.2 Thirty-four objections have been received from Bexhill residents and commuters, on the grounds that zone C should only cover the commercial area, that certain roads have not been included in their respective zones (these include Richmond Road, Richmond Grove, Magdalen Road, De La Warr Road, Wainwright Road and Dorset Road), that the proposals are unnecessary, that it will reduce the number of visitors and the bays currently aren't full. Commuters concerned they won't be able to park near their places of work. Concerns were also raised that the football club will be affected as visitors will need to pay to park. Objections from residents of Collington Avenue were received on the grounds that displacement will force vehicles into their road. One objection received on the grounds that there's plenty of parking for residents already. Twenty items of support were received on this proposal.

25.3 The proposal follows requests received from residents to increase the area of each zone to protect resident parking. Proposals have been drawn up with the aim of balancing permit availability by taking into consideration permit to bay ratios and maximising the available kerb space.

25.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

25.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

25.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **26. Site 34 Brassey Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

26.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west side.

26.2 Eight objections have been received from Bexhill residents on the grounds that the proposals are discriminatory as residents on the east side will be unable to park outside their properties, that the current restrictions work fine for visitors from outside of the zones and removal of free parking will discourage locals from visiting the town centre.

26.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening while still providing time limited visitor parking. The remaining shared use bays will ensure a turnover of vehicles allowing permit holders the opportunity to park on the east side if they so wish.

26.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

26.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

26.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **27. Site 35 Bolebrooke Road and Middlesex Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

27.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west side of Bolebrook Road and the east side of Middlesex Road.

27.2 Four objections have been received from Bexhill residents on the grounds that the proposals create a disadvantage for residents as the current restrictions provide flexibility for their visitors and for users of the MOT garage, and that removal of free parking will discourage locals from visiting the town centre and the seafront. One item of support was received on this proposal.

27.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening while still providing time limited visitor parking.

27.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

27.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

27.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **28. Site 36 De La Warr Parade, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

28.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders or pay and display 2hrs 8am-6pm on the north side of De La Warr Parade.

28.2 Twenty-four objections have been received, sixteen of which are from Bexhill Sailing Club members on the grounds that 2 hours is not long enough for them to unload equipment, sail, and then load their vehicle without extra expense, therefore requesting permits for sailing club members. One objection received from a resident concerned they would have to park in a different road to their property due to the zone change proposals. Two objections received on the grounds that making residents pay to park on the seafront is unfair. One objection received on the grounds that some time limited parking should be kept. One item of support was received on this proposal.

28.3 The proposal follows multiple requests for a greater provision of resident permit parking. Introducing pay and display bays on the north side brings it in line with the south side where pay and display is currently in effect. Visitors wishing to stay longer than 2 hours can use the bays on the seaward side.

28.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

28.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

28.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **29. Site 37 Knole Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

29.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the south side of Knole Road.

29.2 One objection has been received from a Bexhill Sailing Club member on the grounds that 2 hours is not long enough for a social activity or sailing without extra expense.

29.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening while still providing time limited visitor parking. Visitors wishing to stay longer than 2 hours can use the bays on the seaward side of De La Warr Parade.

29.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

29.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

29.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **30. Site 38 Linden Road and Albany Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

30.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west sides of Linden Road and Albany Road.

30.2 Four objections have been received on the grounds that it will remove all free parking which will affect businesses, and during the day the permit holders only bays are rarely used. One objection was received proposing permit premiums for properties with off street parking as a way to dissuade them from purchasing permits and occupying on street spaces that visitors could use. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

30.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

30.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in the centre of Bexhill close to shops and other businesses.

30.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

30.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **31. Site 39 St Leonards Road, Wilton Road and Sea Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

31.1 The proposal at this location is to replace loading only at any time bays with loading only 8am-6pm bays, to replace a section of permit holders only 8am-6pm with a no waiting at any time restriction across a driveway, and to replace a section of time limited 8am-6pm with a loading only 8am-6pm bay.

31.2 Two objections have been received on the grounds that it will remove all free parking which will affect businesses.

31.3 The proposal follows two requests for a loading bay in Sea Road, a request for the parking bay across a recently constructed dropped kerb be removed in Wilton Road and a need to increase the provision of parking outside of shop trading hours.

31.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in these roads and the proposed reduced operating time of the loading bays will increase free available parking after 6pm.

31.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

31.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **32. Site 40 Jameson Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

32.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of permit holders or time limited 8am-6pm with a no waiting at any time restriction across a driveway.

32.2 Two objections have been received, one commenting on the zone change from E to C and one blank. Hence, no comments specific to the actual proposal have been made. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

32.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for the removal of a bay that covers their dropped kerb.

32.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

32.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

32.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **33. Site 41 Eversley Road and Wilton Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

33.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west side of Eversley Road and Wilton Road.

33.2 Two objections have been received on the grounds that it will remove all free parking which will affect businesses. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

33.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

33.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in the centre of Bexhill close to shops and businesses.

33.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

33.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **34. Site 42 Bedford Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

34.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the south and west sides of Bedford Avenue.

34.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposals should be for both sides of the road. One item of support was received on this proposal.

34.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

34.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

34.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

34.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **35. Site 43 Cantelupe Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

35.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone E.

35.2 Five objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that permit prices are too expensive, there needs to be more free parking in the town, the proposals will stop carers from being able to park and the proposals will cause more unauthorised parking in St Barnabas Church car park. One objection requested that Church Street be included in the zone extension. Forty-eight items of support were received on this proposal.

35.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents for the permit scheme to include Cantelupe Road as they are finding it increasingly difficult to park close to their properties.

35.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Visitor and carers permits are available for purchase. Requests for further restrictions will be considered as part of the next Rother Parking Review.

35.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

35.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **36. Site 44 De La Warr Parade and Bethune Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

36.1 The proposal at this location is to replace pay and display 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm bays and replace a section of permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with a blue badge holders only bay on the north side of De La Warr Parade, install a permit holders only parking area 8am-6pm in Bethune Road, and replace a section of pay and display 8am-6pm with four blue badge holders only bays on the south side of De La Warr Parade where a path has been proposed.

36.2 Eight objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the blue badge holders only bays need to be moved closer to the toilets/access ramp that Bexhill needs free parking and that removing this will stop people from visiting, that the bays on the north side be permit holder only, and that there isn't enough blue badge holder parking. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

36.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents for the permit scheme to include De La Warr Parade as they are finding it increasingly difficult to park close to their properties. The proposed disabled bays were requested in this location to service the accessibility ramp to the beach.

36.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. To move the disabled bays now would require further consultation.

36.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

36.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **37. Site 45 Dorset Road South, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

37.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a blue badge holders only bay with a section of permit holder or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm.

37.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that there aren't enough blue badge holders only bays for the number of blue badge holders in the area.

37.3 The proposal follows a request to remove the disabled bay as it is no longer required by the resident it was originally installed for. A usage survey was carried out and it was confirmed that this bay is no longer required.

37.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

37.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

37.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **38. Site 46 Lionel Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

38.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone E.

38.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that we are removing a space outside their property by placing no waiting at any time markings. Fifty-five items of support were received on this proposal.

38.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents for the permit scheme to include Lionel Road as they are finding it increasingly difficult to park close to their properties.

38.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. A bay of sufficient length cannot be accommodated in the location the objector refers to.

38.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

38.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.



### **39. Site 47 Sutton Place, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

39.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone E, and to formalise a blue badge holders only bay.

39.2 Fifteen objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that there are currently no issues with parking and too many spaces will be lost, that parking is already difficult without being charged for it, that we are removing spaces that are comfortably parked in, that residents have plenty of parking already and permits should be given free of charge to residents. Seven items of support were received on this proposal.

39.3 The proposal follows requests for no waiting at any time restrictions and a need to protect residential parking due to the proposed Zone E extension.

39.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Bays of sufficient length cannot be accommodated in the locations the objector refers to. The proposals have been drawn up taking into consideration lines of sight and maximising the available kerb space.

39.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

39.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **40. Site 48 Amherst Road and Mitten Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

40.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays, permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays, no waiting at any time restrictions and to formalise two blue badge holders only bays as part of the extension to Zone N on Amherst Road north of its junction with Chepbourne Road, and to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm with permit holders only Mon to Sat on the west side of Amherst Road and to replace a permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay with no waiting at any time restrictions south of its junction with Chepbourne Road. The proposal also includes the replacement of a blue badge holders only bay with a section of permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm in Mitten Road.

40.2 Twenty two objections have been received, ten from NHS staff on the grounds that they won't be able to park close to their place of work with some claiming it will affect the services they provide. One objection to the change of bays on the west side on the grounds that the current restrictions work as they are and visitors would fill up the bays on the east side. One objection received on the grounds that the removal of free parking will negatively affect the town. One objection received on the grounds that commuters will need to park elsewhere. Fifteen items of support were received on this proposal.

40.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents to extend permit zone N and follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

40.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

40.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

40.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**41. Site 49 Mitten Road and New Park Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

41.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays.

41.2 One objection has been received from a Bexhill resident on the grounds that they do not want their visitors to have to pay to park, and three objections commenting on the removal of free parking in general.

41.3 The proposal follows a need to better utilise the available kerb space by increasing the amount of time motorists can park in the bays. This would provide visitors and commuters a place to park all day close to the town centre.

41.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

41.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

41.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**42. Site 50 Reginald Road, Windsor Road, Leopold Road, Victoria Road and Terminus Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

42.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays with permit holders only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays on the west side of Reginald Road, east side of Windsor Road, south side of Victoria Road, and west and north sides of Leopold Road. The proposal is also to install new permit holders only bay Mon to Sat 8am-6pm on north side of Terminus Road and to replace sections of permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm with no waiting at any time restrictions where driveway crossovers have been installed on Windsor Road.

42.2 Eighteen objections have been received on the proposals for Windsor Road. Reasons for objecting were that a garage park their customers cars in the time limited bays, that visitors won't be able to park without paying, that parents who attend Tots Play will have to park further away when taking their children to the classes, and that there is plenty of parking for residents already. No objections were received for the proposals on Reginald Road, Leopold Road or Terminus Road. Nine items of support were received on this proposal.

42.3 The proposal follows requests for an increased provision of permit holder parking for residents and an extension to Zone N in the east end of Terminus Road.

42.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in these roads, the proposals will also increase the available parking spaces for residents during the day and in the evening.

42.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

42.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**43. Site 51 Brockley Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

43.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or pay and display bays 8am-6pm and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone W.

43.2 Seventeen objections have been received on the grounds that one resident has a driveway where a bay has been proposed, that there should be no waiting at any time restrictions at the entrance to The Polegrove and tennis courts as pedestrians tend to cross the road at this point, that parking should be free for Bexhill residents, that the proposals will stop people from using the sports facilities, that they will have to park further away, that it will discourage families from visiting the park and that there are very few residences in the road. Three objections from residents of Richmond Road and Richmond Grove on the grounds that the proposals will displace vehicles into these roads. Twenty-four items of support were received on this proposal.

43.3 The proposal follows requests for an increased provision of permit holder and pay and display parking for residents and visitors and an extension to Zone W.

43.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The driveway the objector refers to does not have a vehicle crossover and has been informed of the correct process to apply for one. Requests for further restrictions will be considered as part of the next review.

43.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

43.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

**44. Site 52 Cornwall Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

44.1 The proposal at this location is to install a new blue badge holders only bay for a resident.

44.2 One objection has been received from a resident on the grounds that the road is mostly empty during the day and would benefit from 2hrs time limited as well as permit holders.

44.3 The proposal follows a request for a blue badge holders only bay for a resident.

44.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as the comments relate to proposals that were not being consulted upon.

44.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

44.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objection and install the proposal as advertised.

**45. Site 53 Egerton Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

45.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or pay and display 4hrs 8am-6pm bays, blue badge holders only bays and new no waiting at any time restrictions on the north side, replace sections of permit holders only with no waiting at any time restrictions across driveways

on the south side, and remove no waiting at any time restrictions from the service road (TRO amendment only – the no waiting at any time restrictions have never been installed).

45.2 Twenty-two objections have been received on the grounds that the no waiting at any time restrictions in the service road are needed, that the Egerton Park Indoor Bowls Club would like a concession for their members if the proposals are successful, that the removal of short stay parking indirectly discriminates against older people, that enforcement times should be between 3pm-10am so permit holders can use the bays when they actually need them and that there are too many blue badge holders bays being proposed. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

45.3 The proposal follows requests for blue badge holders only bays for visitors of the park and museum, and an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W.

45.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The blue badge holders bays will enable greater access to amenities. A change to the restricted times is not within the scope of this review. The proposals offer a wide range of alternate parking for all users whilst ensuring a turnover of kerb space.

45.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

45.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **46. Site 54 Park Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

46.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm bays on the west side.

46.2 Six objections have been received on the grounds that the removal of free parking will stop people from visiting, requesting morning and afternoon visitor permits and that the prices are unaffordable. One item of support was received on this proposal.

46.3 The proposal follows a request for an increased provision for permit parking.

46.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

46.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

46.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **47. Site 55 West Parade and South Cliff, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

47.1 The proposal at this location is to replace pay and display 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm bays on the north side.

47.2 Seven objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that vehicles will be displaced into Richmond Road and South Cliff, that they do not want to see more payment machines on West Parade or South Cliff, that a lot of locals will not be able to afford to park on West Parade and that the north side should be permit holders only. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

47.3 The proposal follows requests for an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W.

47.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. We are not proposing to install any additional payment machines on West Parade or South Cliff and the current tariff has been set as low as possible while still supporting the scheme.

47.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

47.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **48. Site 56 Wickham Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)**

48.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only 8am-6pm bays and replace a section of no waiting at any time with a loading only 8am-6pm bay on the north side, new permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm and replace permit holders only with permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm on the south side, and install new no waiting at any time restrictions.

48.2 Five objections have been received, including two on the grounds that commuters will need to park further away, two about the loading bay on the grounds that the charity chose to operate where the no waiting at any time restrictions are and do not need a loading bay and that changing the bays on the south side will see an increase in vehicles being parked all day. Three objections received against no waiting at any time restrictions across driveways as it removes spaces for residents, that the south side should be permit holders only and north side should be shared use as more north side properties have off street parking, that it will increase the number of vehicles looking to park in Woodville Road and that the no waiting at any time restriction at the junction to Brockley Road on the grounds that it is too long.

48.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W. Seventy items of support were received on the proposals.

48.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposed change to the bays on the south side will ensure a high turnover of visiting vehicles. The loading bay will help to service the businesses in the road.

48.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

48.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

This page is intentionally left blank

**Appendix 4 – Draft Traffic Regulation Order, as advertised**

**EAST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL**

**ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION ACT 1984, ROAD TRAFFIC ACT 1991 &  
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT ACT 2004**

**The East Sussex (Rother District) (Parking Places, Waiting, No Stopping and Loading Restrictions) Traffic Regulation Order 2020 Amendment No.\* Order 202\***

East Sussex County Council, in exercise of their powers under Sections 1(1), 2(1) to (4), 3(2), 4(2), 32, 35(1) and (3), 45, 46, 49, 51, 52 and 53 of, and Part IV of Schedule 9 to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (“the Act”), as amended, the Road Traffic Act 1991, as amended, Part 6 of the Traffic Management Act 2004, and of all other enabling powers and after consultation with the Chief Officer of Police in accordance with Part III of Schedule 9 to the Act hereby make the following Order:-

**1. Commencement and citation**

This Order may be cited as “The East Sussex (Rother District) (Parking Places, Waiting, No Stopping and Loading Restrictions) Traffic Regulation Order 2020 Amendment No. \* Order 202\* and shall come into effect on xxxxxx

**2. When this Order comes into effect:**

- (i) The East Sussex (Rother District) (Parking Places, Waiting, No Stopping and Loading Restrictions) Traffic Regulation Order 202\* as amended, shall have effect except as hereinafter contained.
- (ii) The Order Plans shall be amended as follows:

<b>The map tiles below shall be revoked</b>	<b>The map tiles below shall be inserted</b>
<b>Battle</b>	
	KA220
KA225	KA225 Revision
	KB220
KB226	KB226 Revision
KE228	KE228 Revision
	KE229
KF229	KF229 Revision
KG229	KG229 Revision
<b>Bexhill Central</b>	
MA219	MA219 Revision
MA223	MA223 Revision
MA224	MA224 Revision
MA226	MA226 Revision
MB223	MB223 Revision
MB224	MB224 Revision
MB226	MB226 Revision
MC222 Revision: 1	MC222 Revision
MC223 Revision: 1	MC223 Revision

MC224	MC224 Revision
MC226 Revision: 1	MC226 Revision
MC228	MC228 Revision
MD222	MD222 Revision
MD223	MD223 Revision
MD224	MD224 Revision
MD225	MD225 Revision
MD226	MD226 Revision
MD227	MD227 Revision
MD228	MD228 Revision
ME221	ME221 Revision
ME222 Revision: 1	ME222 Revision
ME223	ME223 Revision
ME224	ME224 Revision
ME225 Revision: 1	ME225 Revision
ME226 Revision: 1	ME226 Revision
ME227	ME227 Revision
	MF220
	MF221
MF222 Revision: 1	MF222 Revision
MF223 Revision: 1	MF223 Revision
MF224 Revision: 1	MF224 Revision
MF225 Revision: 1	MF225 Revision
MF226	MF226 Revision
	MG218
	MG219
	MG220
MG221	MG221 Revision
MG222	MG222 Revision
MG223	MG223 Revision
MH220	MH220 Revision
MH221	MH221 Revision
<b>Bexhill Outer</b>	
LR222	LR222 Revision
LS222	LS222 Revision
LS223	LS223 Revision
LU223	LU223 Revision
LU224	LU224 Revision
LV223	LV223 Revision
LV225	LV225 Revision
LX224	LX224 Revision
LX229	LX229 Revision
LY224	LY224 Revision
LY228	LY228 Revision
LZ224	LZ224 Revision
MA214	MA214 Revision
MB214	MB214 Revision
MC214	MC214 Revision
MN207	MN207 Revision
<b>Camber</b>	
JH302	JH302 Revision



	JF301
JG301	JG301 Revision
JG302	JG302 Revision
<b>Northiam</b>	
HX255	HX255 Revision
HY255	HY255 Revision
<b>Robertsbridge</b>	
ID222	ID222 Revision
	IE222
<b>Rye &amp; Rye Harbour</b>	
	IR289
	IS288
	IS289
	IT288
IU288	IU288 Revision
IV288	IV288 Revision
IW288	IW288 Revision
	JA286
	JA287
	JB286
	JB287
<b>Staplecross</b>	
	IJ237
	IJ238
<b>Westfield</b>	
	KE248
	KD248
KD249	KD249 Revision
KC249	KC249 Revision

- (iii) Schedule G5 - Charges relating to pay and display tickets shall be amended by adding the following in Rye \*8 and Bexhill \*9:

**Schedule G5 - Charges relating to pay and display tickets**

		BEXHILL								
		Town Centre *1	Marina *2	West Parade *3	Seafront *4	Station *5	Battle *6	Rye *7	Rye *8	Bexhill *9
Maximum stay Length of stay		2 hours	2 hours	4 hours	All Day	All Day	1 hour	2 hours	4 hours	All Day
	Up to 5 Minutes	5p	15p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p
	Up to 7 Minutes	5p	15p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p
	Up to 10 Minutes	5p	30p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p	5p

Up to 15 Minutes	10p	45p	10p	10p	10p	10p	10p	10p	10p
Up to 30 Minutes	20p	90p	20p	20p	20p	20p	20p	20p	20p
Up to 1 hours	40p	£1.70	40p	40p	40p	40p	40p	40p	40p
Up to 2 hours	80p	£2.70	70p	70p	80p	N/A	80p	80p	70p
Up to 3 hours	N/A	N/A	£1.05	£1.05	£1.20	N/A	N/A	£1.20	£1.05
Up to 4 hours	N/A	N/A	£1.40	£1.40	£1.60	N/A	N/A	£1.60	£1.40
Up to 5 hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	£1.70	£2.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	£1.70
Up to 6 hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00	£2.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00
Up to 7 hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00	£2.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00
Up to 8 hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00	£3.20	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00
Up to 9 hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00	£3.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00
Up to 10 hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00	£4.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00
Up to 12 Hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	£2.00

- (iv) **\*1** Tariff structure in Chepbourne Road, Devonshire Road, London Road, Station Road (west end), Town Hall Square and Victoria Road
- (v) **\*2** Tariff structure in Marina and De La Warr Parade (north side)
- (vi) **\*3** Tariff structure in Brockley Road, Egerton Road, Park Road and West Parade (north side) between Brockley Road and Park Road
- (vii) **\*4** Tariff structure in South Cliff, De La Warr Parade and West Parade
- (viii) **\*5** Tariff structure in Endwell Road and Station Road
- (ix) **\*6** Tariff structure in High Street
- (x) **\*7** Tariff structure in Cinque Ports Street, Cyprus Place, East Street, Hilders Cliff, High Street, Landgate, Market Road, Market Street, Rope Walk, The Mint, The Strand, Tower Street, Wish Street and Wish Ward
- (xi) **\*8** Tariff structure in Military Road
- (xii) **\*9** Tariff structure in Amherst Road, Millfield Rise, Larkhill, Mitten Road and New Park Avenue

THE COMMON SEAL of )  
EAST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL )  
was affixed hereto )  
on the xx day of xxxxxxxx )  
Two Thousand and xxxxxx )  
in the presence of:- )

AUTHORISED SIGNATORY

H & T Ctte. 2.4.74 - para 4.2 joint report of  
Director of Legal & Community Services & County  
Engineer - Para 4.

This page is intentionally left blank

Committee	<b>Regulatory Planning Committee</b>
Date	<b>12 July 2023</b>
Report by	<b>Director of Communities, Economy and Transport</b>
Subject	<b>Development Management Update</b>
Purpose	<b>To inform Members about matters relating to: (i) enforcement and site monitoring, undertaken under delegated powers for the period between 1 January 2023 and 30 June 2023; and (ii) development management performance for the period 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2023.</b>
Contact Officer:	<b>Sarah Iles – 01273 481631</b>
Local Members:	<b>All</b>

---

## **SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

**The Committee is recommended to note the report.**

---

---

## **CONSIDERATION BY DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITIES, ECONOMY AND TRANSPORT**

### **1. Enforcement**

1.1 In the period between 1 January 2023 and 30 June 2023, inclusive, there were thirty six new complaints about alleged breaches of planning control. With the exception of one, all of the new cases all related to “County Matter” sites. Of the new cases, fourteen were dealt with and completed within the reporting period and eleven older cases were also resolved. Accordingly, the number of sites being investigated or subject to formal action at the end of June 2023 was forty one. This represents an increase of eleven in the number of cases that were outstanding at the end of the previously reported period (1 April 2022 – 31 December 2022).

1.2 The number of outstanding cases does represent an increase compared to the previously reported period. Part of this can be attributed to the scale and complexity of certain cases, which consumes a disproportionate amount of officer time and resources. Also, some cases are kept open when awaiting outcomes from other sources, so a breach of planning control may be resolved from the County Planning Authority point of view, but the case is kept open pending decisions by other regulatory bodies or third parties. Members may note that several cases in either Table 3 or 4 in Appendix 1 of this report show that a “final” site visit is required before a case can be closed. This is not to suggest that there remain outstanding matters with these sites, but that priority has been given to other sites where breaches are occurring that require immediate attention. Before the next report to Planning Committee, endeavours will be made to closing these minor outstanding cases. A final contribution to the increase in workloads and outstanding cases has been the amount of staff resource available within the Planning Policy and Development Management Team, which ultimately support the enforcement function. Whilst there have been positions successfully recruited to, there does remain one full-time position vacant within the team, which is proving challenging to recruit to.

Notwithstanding these challenges, the enforcement service continues to be provided in a positive, proactive and effective manner and is well regarded.

1.3 Members will recall from previous reports that joint working with other regulatory bodies and organisations plays a significant part in the role of our enforcement function. This has continued and remains highly valued and sees very positive outcomes. An example of this is in relation to a site in Newhaven, where planning permission was granted for a complex site involving, amongst other things, an asphalt plant. The site is also regulated by an Environmental Permit issued by Lewes District Council. During a site monitoring visit, Officers from this Authority noted a number of issues relating to both the site layout and also breaches of conditions of the planning permission. At the same time, complaints and concerns were raised regarding emissions and possible breaches of the Environmental Permit. Officers from both this Authority and Lewes District Council have worked together closely and collaboratively and significant improvements have been made to operations at the site. This joint working will continue and enhances the strength of enforcement actions that can be taken.

1.4 Appendix 1 of this Report provides details of cases resolved and received within the period 1 January 2023 and 30 June 2023, together with details of the status of all current cases. Additional details and information on these cases can be obtained from the relevant officers listed at the end of this report.

## **2. Site Monitoring**

2.1 Site monitoring visits have continued, albeit on a slightly ad hoc basis. However, in a drive to resume the full site monitoring regime, letters have been sent out to operators of waste and minerals sites in the County. This amounts to in the region of 120 sites to be formally inspected, and most sites will be inspected at least twice each year.

2.2 Members will note from some of the tables in Appendix 1 that enforcement actions have arisen from site monitoring visits. This shows the importance of monitoring sites to ensure conformity with their planning permission. However, site monitoring has to be accommodated within the limited resources of the Planning Policy and Development management team.

## **3. Development Management**

3.1 The Growth and Infrastructure Act 2013 introduced new measures and consequences in terms of the planning performance of planning authorities. Where authorities are not adequately performing their planning function of determining relevant planning applications within prescribed timescales, they can be designated as being in “special measures”. Under this provision, the power for determining planning applications can be taken away from local authorities, and applicants can choose to have their application determined by the Planning Inspectorate. We are required to submit quarterly statistical returns and there are penalties for failing to submit two or more quarters of data and, once applied, the penalties will be reflected in the performance statistics published. Additionally, if an application is not determined within 26 weeks and extensions of time have not been agreed with the applicant, planning authorities have to return the planning application fee to the applicant.

3.2 The Government publishes criteria for determining whether or not to place local planning authorities in “special measures”. One measure is the average percentage figure for the timely determination of major development applications over two years. The threshold for designation is currently at 60% of applications being determined within a 13 week period, or within a timeframe agreed with the applicant. The Government also introduced monitoring performance on non-major planning applications, the threshold for which is 70%. However, the monitoring of performance on non-major applications relates to District/Borough/Unitary

authorities and not County Councils. Therefore, the performance on the determination of the non-major County Council developments (Regulation 3) will not be included in the performance figures measured by the Government, although we continue to monitor and report on our own performance.

3.3 In terms of performance, for the period April 2022 to March 2023 (inclusive) of the relevant applications, 100% of County Matter applications (waste and minerals proposals) were determined within 13 weeks or within an agreed extension of time, and 100% of County Council applications (for the County Council’s own development proposals) were also determined within 8 weeks or within an agreed extension of time, both of which clearly exceed the targets set by Government and locally. With respect to the Government measures regarding performance for major applications (in this instance County Matters), the outturn figure for the 24 months ending March 2023 was 100% of major applications determined within the relevant timescale, which is clearly well above the current 60% threshold.

3.4 The table below sets out the number and types of formal applications/queries dealt with for the financial year 2022/23.

Type	2022/2023
County Council applications determined	16
County Matter applications determined	4
Cross Boundary Applications	0
Applications withdrawn	5
Non-material amendment applications determined	6
Lawful Development certificates	1
Prior Notification	0
Formal Pre-Application Advice	18
“Do I need planning permission” requests	22
Minerals/Waste Safeguarding and other consultations	57
EIA Screening Opinion requests	5

#### 4. Contact Officers

4.1 Members with any queries about enforcement or site monitoring matters should contact either Sarah Iles (01273 481631) or Marcus Berrisford (01273 335218). Members with queries relating to County Matter and Regulation 3 applications should contact either Kiran Sajjan (01273 481595) or Sarah Iles.

RUPERT CLUBB  
 Director of Communities, Economy and Transport  
 04 July 2023

Local Members: All

This page is intentionally left blank



**TABLE 1 - BREACHES OF PLANNING CONTROL PREVIOUSLY INVESTIGATED AND RESOLVED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2023 AND 30 JUNE 2023**

	<b>DATE LPA BECAME AWARE OF BREACH</b>	<b>SITE ADDRESS</b>	<b>NATURE OF CASE</b>	<b>CURRENT POSITION</b>
1/1	June 2021	Paul's Mini Skips, Unit 13 Chaucer Industrial estate, Dittons Road, Polegate	Change of Use of site	<p>A complaint was received that part of this site, which is an authorised waste transfer station, had been changed into a containerised self-storage operation. A site visit was undertaken which confirmed the details of the complaint. Contact was made with the site operator, and the requirement for a change of use planning application was explained to the operator, who stated that he would apply for planning permission seeking to regularise this change of use.</p> <p>A planning application (WD/856/CM) was submitted, but was subsequently withdrawn before determination. A revised application (WD/862/CM) was then submitted and was due to be considered by the Planning Committee at its meeting in April 2022. However, the application was withdrawn prior to the meeting taking place.</p> <p>The operator then submitted a planning application to Wealden District Council, seeking to regularise the storage use as "stand alone" use and separate it from the existing waste use. The application was refused by the District Council and this Authority then served three Breach of Condition Notices on the operator, requiring the self-storage use to cease; the containers to be removed; and the site layout to be in accordance with the approved plans.</p> <p>Following the service of the Notices, a site meeting was held with the operator and his representative. A further planning application was submitted to Wealden District Council and subsequently approved.</p> <p>Following the approval of the planning permission by Wealden District Council, a formal letter has been sent to the operator confirming that no further action will be taken in respect of the Breach of Condition Notices.</p> <p>The breach of planning control has been resolved and no further enforcement action</p>

				required. The site will continue to be monitored as part of the Council's Site Monitoring Policy.
1/2	October 2021	Hole Farm, Westfield Lane, Westfield	Breach of Conditions (hours of operation, noise, additional plant, landscaping)	<p>Two complaints were received alleging that the site was breaching a number of the conditions attached to the planning permission for the site (RR/724/CM). These were: unapproved machinery being used on site (Condition 2); site operations exceeding permitted noise levels (Condition 3); landscaping works not having been completed (Condition 4); operating outside the hours permitted (Condition 6); and machinery operating outside permitted hours (Condition 7).</p> <p>An unannounced site visit was undertaken which identified a number of breaches of conditions, including the fact that the site was not laid out in accordance with the approved plans (Condition 1) and willow screening had not been planted (Condition 4). The site visit also confirmed that there was unauthorised machinery on the site.</p> <p>A letter was sent to the new operator of the site to advise him of the complaint and the outcome of the site visit, and advising him, if he wished to continue to operate the site without complying with the existing conditions, that a new planning application would need to be submitted to seek to regularise these breaches.</p> <p>Following a delay in progress, a site meeting was held with the landowner, operator and their representative. The landowner indicated that he was considering seeking an alternative use for the site and that the operator will be clearing and vacating the site in early 2023.</p> <p>A further site inspection was carried out which confirmed that the operator had vacated the site. The breach of planning control has been resolved and no further enforcement action required. The site will continue to be monitored as part of the Council's Site Monitoring Policy.</p>
1/3	February 2022	Land at Renby Lakes, Forge Road, Eridge	Importation and deposit of waste (soils)	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials, comprising soils, were being imported and deposited at the site.</p> <p>A joint site visit with an officer from Wealden District Council was carried out and it was noted that a volume of materials had been deposited in a field. The materials comprised clean soil and sub-soil, and mostly what appeared to be dredgings from the nearby lake. It was also noted that an area of hardstanding/car parking appeared to</p>

				<p>have been created and facilities provided, potentially for recreational use of the site.</p> <p>The site has been monitored and no further materials have been imported. The use of the site for a private/commercial fishing venture is not a matter for this Authority in its capacity as Waste Planning Authority. No further action required.</p>
1/4	February 2022	Burfield Academy, Oaklands Way, Hailsham	Breach of Condition - Kiss and Drop not being used	<p>A complaint was received that the School was not operating the Kiss and Drop facility, which was resulting in congestion on local roads and parents were, allegedly, repeatedly parking across residents' driveways and blocking access.</p> <p>Planning permission (WD/3252/CC) for the School was granted in 2015, which included the provision and use of a "kiss and drop" facility. Following the complaint, an initial site visit was carried out, which confirmed that the vehicular gates to the School were shut and only pedestrian access allowed.</p> <p>A site meeting was held with representatives of the School, Academy Trust and Highways Officers to discuss and assess the current situation. The School confirmed that the kiss and drop facility was not in operation. Initially this was due to the pandemic and the need for additional circulation space within the grounds to allow for social distancing. Since the lifting of restrictions, concerns were raised regarding the safe operation of the kiss and drop. The School commissioned a health and safety report, which concluded that there were significant concerns regarding the operation of the kiss and drop.</p> <p>In order to try and improve the situation, the School have been preparing a Travel Plan to encourage alternative means of transport/travel to the School. Other measures which could be implemented have also been reviewed, such as parking/waiting restrictions in order to reduce traffic hazards in the immediate vicinity of the School.</p> <p>There are currently other issues surrounding the site, which will result in the temporary relocation of the pupils to another education facility in Hailsham. This will enable the kiss and drop facility and configuration of the site to be reviewed.</p> <p>Wealden District Council has recently granted planning permission for temporary accommodation at the Phoenix Academy in Hailsham, which will facilitate the relocation of pupils from Burfield Academy whilst works to the building are undertaken. These works are anticipated to take up to 24 months. Given the current situation,</p>

				there is no further action to be taken in respect of the use of the Kiss and Drop facility, although the Council will maintain contact with the School/Academy Trust with regards to plans for this facility once the School re-opens to pupils.
1/5	June 2022	Sweethayes Farm, London Road, Hurst Green	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding the importation and deposit of waste materials (soils) at the site. There is an extant planning permission for the construction of a replacement barn, granted by Rother District Council, and it was understood the materials were being imported for that purpose and for the creation of a track. However, the development was not in full accordance with the planning permission.</p> <p>Officers have liaised closely with Rother District Council and the Environment Agency and further site visits have been carried out to identify the full extent of the works and which regulatory body is appropriate to take the lead. No further materials have been imported to the site.</p> <p>Following discussions and a review of the case, the works at this stage are considered to be in relation to the planning permission granted by Rother District Council. Therefore, there is no further action required by this Authority in its capacity as Waste Planning Authority.</p> <p>However, officers will remain in contact with officers at both the Environment Agency and Rother District Council and take any necessary action should the situation change.</p>
1/6	October 2022	Eastbourne E- Waste, 1 Huggetts Lane, Lower Willingdon	Importation, storing, processing, recycling, transportation and exporting of electronic waste and recycled waste products	<p>A complaint was received that waste electrical items were being imported and processed at the property. A site visit was carried out which confirmed the substance of the complaint. Discussions were held with the landowner, who confirmed that electrical goods are brought to the site and then dismantled, with the precious metals extracted and melted down, and other components sold or recycled.</p> <p>An initial letter was sent to the landowner, but no response received. A further, chaser letter was sent and a reply received. A further meeting with the landowner and inspection of the site has since been carried out.</p> <p>The scale and “hobby” nature of the activities are not considered to constitute a material change of use and planning permission is not, therefore, required. However, due to the use of hazardous substances and internal building works, the relevant</p>

				<p>regulatory authorities (East Sussex Fire &amp; Rescue, Environmental Health and Building Control,) have been notified.</p> <p>No breach of planning control has occurred and no further enforcement action required by this Authority.</p>
1/7	October 2022	Land at Clearview, Nursery Lane, Wivelsfield Green	Importation, deposit and burning of commercial waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste was being imported to the site, stored and then burnt. A site visit was carried out and a chance meeting held with the landowner. There was evidence of burning, which the landowner claimed was from material from land within his ownership. There was also a quantity of general building material around the site. The landowner indicated that much of these materials were related to his son's business, but that he would shortly be moving to a unit on an industrial estate in Burgess Hill and so these materials would be removed.</p> <p>The landowner stated that they were in the process of converting several buildings and materials stored on the site were also from those works.</p> <p>The planning situation regarding the storage and disposal of waste was explained to the landowner, who was also advised that the site would be monitored.</p> <p>The site has been monitored and following a recent site visit, no evidence of imported waste or burning was found. The breach of planning control has therefore been resolved and no further enforcement action is required. Officers will, however, periodically visit the site.</p>
1/8	October 2022	The Coal Yard (now Pyrite Industries), Station Road, Hailsham	Breach of Condition - noise survey to be carried out.	<p>During a site monitoring visit it was noted that a noise survey had not been submitted, which was required by a condition attached to the planning permission for the site (WD/831/CM). The operator advised that they were not fully operational and that little shredding of tyres had been taking place, which was one of the main reasons for the noise survey being required.</p> <p>Following the site visit, the operator confirmed their position and also that they are currently reviewing operations at the site due to changes in the market. Further correspondence was sent to the operator.</p> <p>Officers have monitored the site and it still does not appear to be fully operational. Therefore, at this stage, a noise survey would not serve the intended purpose. No</p>

				further enforcement action required; however the site will continue to be monitored as part of the Council's Site Monitoring Policy.
1/9	November 2022	St Thomas Primary School, Corseley Road, Groombridge	Erection of a fence	<p>A complaint was received that a fence had been erected at the front of the School and, due to its height and positioning, was creating a safety hazard for pedestrians and road users. A site visit was carried out, which confirmed the existence of the fence and also that due to its height it did not benefit from being permitted development. Options for resolving the matter were presented to the School.</p> <p>Due to the project being led and funded by the School, the matter was referred to Wealden District Council to deal with. No further action is required by this Authority.</p>
1/10	November 2022	Expert Skips, Endeavour Works, Newhaven	Breach of Conditions - surface water management and dust management	<p>During a site monitoring visit it was noted that two conditions attached to the planning permission for the site (LW/765/CM) had not been complied with. A letter was sent to the operator regarding the outstanding matters.</p> <p>Details pursuant to the outstanding conditions were submitted and subsequently approved. Breach of planning control resolved and no further enforcement action required. The site will continue to be monitored as part of the Council's Site Monitoring Policy.</p>
1/11	December 2022	Upper Clayhill Farm, Uckfield Road, Ringmer	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported and deposited at the site. A joint site visit was carried out with officers from the Environment Agency, which confirmed the substance of the complaint. During the course of the site visit, a lorry arrived at the site and proceeded to tip a load of soil, which according to the driver was being done to raise the levels of the land as it is susceptible to flooding.</p> <p>Investigations have been carried out and discussions held with Lewes District Council (LDC). The deposit of the materials was apparently to create a temporary track to facilitate repair works at a solar farm, which was granted permission by LDC. The situation is being monitored by LDC, who has required the removal of the track on completion of the repair works at the solar farm.</p> <p>No further action is required by this Authority as Waste Planning Authority.</p>

**TABLE 2 - NEW BREACHES OF PLANNING CONTROL INVESTIGATED AND RESOLVED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2023 AND 30 JUNE 2023**

	<b>DATE LPA BECAME AWARE OF BREACH</b>	<b>SITE ADDRESS</b>	<b>NATURE OF CASE</b>	<b>CURRENT POSITION</b>
2/1	January 2023	Land at East Quay adjacent to Brett Aggregates Limited, Fishers Wharf, East Quay, Newhaven Port, Newhaven	Use of land for aggregate storage outside area approved by Planning Permission LW/799/CM/EIA	<p>A complaint was received that aggregates (sand and gravel) were being stored in a location outside the area approved by the planning permission for the site. A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the operator.</p> <p>Materials, which have been imported by ship, are being stored in this area. The operator has leased the land from the Port Authority and use the area solely for storage of imported materials - no processing of the materials.</p> <p>The land is classed as operational port land and the use of this land for the storage of marine imported aggregates is considered to be Permitted Development.</p> <p>Accordingly, there has been no breach of planning control and no further action is required. However, the site will be monitored during routine monitoring of other sites in the vicinity.</p>
2/2	January 2023	Land at East Quay, Fishers Wharf, Newhaven,	Burning of waste	<p>During the course of a site visit to a nearby site, it was brought to Officers' attention that burning of waste was taking place at this site. Further investigations confirmed evidence of burning.</p> <p>The site has been monitored and no further burning has taken place. At this stage, the breach of planning control has been resolved. However, the site will be monitored periodically to ensure burning of waste does not resume.</p>
2/3	January 2023	Courtlands Place Farm, Cowbeech Road, Hailsham	Importation and burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported to the site and burnt. Contact was made with the landowner who confirmed that soils had been imported to raise the levels of the floor in a barn, but adverse weather had delayed the works.</p> <p>A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the landowner. During the site visit it was noted that a few loads of clean soil had been stockpiled near the barn, ready for incorporation into the barn floor. The volume of materials was considered</p>

				<p>proportionate to its intended use. No evidence of burning was found.</p> <p>There has been no breach of planning control and no further action is required.</p>
2/4	January 2023	Land at Old Lane, Crowborough	Importation and storage of waste	<p>A complaint was received that land was being used for the importation and storage of waste materials. A site visit was carried out but the only items found were the stacked sections of a dismantled prefabricated garage.</p> <p>No unauthorised waste use was identified and no further action is required.</p>
2/5	February 2023	Land off Pebsham Lane, Bexhill-on-Sea,	Earthworks and burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that earthworks were being undertaken along with burning of waste. A site visit was carried out, which identified a small quantity of waste on site, together with a mini digger and road roller. Green waste on the site appeared to be site derived. Engineering operations had taken place to level areas of the site and create bunds around it.</p> <p>From investigations it transpired that a motorcycle training company had acquired the site and was in the process of establishing an area for their training. The matter is being dealt with by Rother District Council, as the relevant planning authority, and no further action is required by this Authority.</p>
2/6	February 2023	Isenhurst Farm, off A267 Mayfield Road, Cross-in-Hand	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding the importation and deposit of waste. Following discussions with Wealden District Council (WDC) and the Environment Agency (EA), the waste imports appear to be incidental to other uses occurring at the site and are not primarily a waste use.</p> <p>Consequently this Authority, as Waste Planning Authority, is not pursuing any further action. However, contact will be maintained with WDC and the EA in the event the situation changes.</p>
2/7	February 2023	Rideout Agricultural Ltd, Dunly Wood, Mayfield Road, Cross-in-Hand, Heathfield	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported to and deposited at the site, which was potentially in breach of an extant Enforcement Notice previously served on the operator of the site.</p> <p>A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the operator. There was no evidence of imported waste materials, other than road sweeper slurry stored in a lagoon, which is not the subject of the Enforcement Notice.</p>



				No breach of planning control has occurred and no further enforcement action is required by this Authority.
2/8	March 2023	Born Again Plastics, Oak Ferrars Farm, Pitdown	Breach of conditions – storage of baled waste	<p>A complaint was received that baled waste was being stored outside the area permitted by the planning permission for the site. A site visit was carried out, which confirmed the substance of the complaint. During the site visit, discussions were held with the operator who stated that within the following week two loads of baled waste would be leaving the site, which would significantly reduce the amount stored at the site.</p> <p>Further visits have been carried out and which noted that the excessive storage of waste had reduced. Breach of planning control resolved and no further enforcement action required. The site will continue to be monitored as part of the Council's Site Monitoring Policy.</p>
2/9	March 2023	Land adjacent to Mariola, Alice Bright Lane, Crowborough	Importation, deposit and burning of garden waste	<p>A complaint was received that green waste was being imported to the site and burnt. A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the landowner. The landowner stated that the green waste had originated from within the site. Tree and hedge works and some site clearance had taken place and this was what was being burnt. There was no evidence to suspect that waste had been imported.</p> <p>The site has been monitored and no breach of planning control identified. No further action required.</p>
2/10	March 2023	Bunkers Hill Farm, Burwash Common	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste soils and hardcore were being imported to the site and deposited. A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the landowner, who stated that clean soil had been imported to provide extra support for a new water containment pond he had created. The hardcore arose from works around the site and the landowner intends to use this for repairs to tracks on his land.</p> <p>The works undertaken are considered to be an engineering operation rather than waste disposal and the matter has been referred to Rother District Council for action as they deem appropriate.</p> <p>There is no breach of planning control for this Authority, as Waste Planning Authority, to deal with.</p>

2/11	April 2023	Land at Perrymans Lane, Uckfield	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste soils were being imported to alter the levels of land. A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the landowner, who confirmed that a small quantity of subsoils had been imported to fill a small undulation and that topsoil would be imported and the area seeded and planted.</p> <p>Due to the limited nature of the works and the clean soils used, it is not considered any further action is required by this Authority as Waste Planning Authority. The landowner was advised to contact Wealden District Council, as the relevant planning authority, for any further proposed development at the site.</p>
2/12	May 2023	Messens Farm, Potmans Lane, Bexhill	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials, comprising soils and hardcore, were being imported into and deposited at the site. A site visit was carried out and discussions held with the landowner, who stated that the works are in association with the creation of an equestrian sand school, which has the benefit of planning permission from Wealden District Council.</p> <p>Observations on site and a review of the relevant planning permission confirmed this. However, the application documents and approved drawings do not show any alterations of land levels or the importation of materials for this purpose. The matter has therefore been referred to Wealden District Council for any action they deem appropriate. No further action required by this Authority.</p>
2/13	May 2023	Suez Waste Transfer Station, Potts Marsh Estate, Westham	Breach of conditions – odour, dust and litter	<p>A complaint was received that operations at the site were causing excessive odour, dust and litter and that these were affecting adjoining units on the industrial estate. A site visit was carried out and discussions held with the operator.</p> <p>During the site visit operations were observed and no evidence to substantiate the complaint noted. However, the operator was reminded of the conditions attached to the various permissions relating to the site and the need to adhere to them.</p> <p>No breach of planning control was identified and no further action required. The site will continue to be monitored as part of the Council's Site Monitoring Policy.</p>
2/14	June 2023	Land at East Quay adjacent to Brett Aggregates, Fisher's Wharf, East	Dust arising from aggregate storage area	<p>A complaint was received regarding excessive dust arising from the stockpiles of aggregates in the area adjacent to the Brett Aggregates site. A site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the operator and their Health &amp; Safety Advisor. It was explained that there had been issues with the dust suppression system, but that this</p>

		quay, Newhaven Fort		<p>was now fixed and would be deployed as necessary to contain any dust from the stockpiles.</p> <p>The storage of aggregates in this area is considered to be Permitted Development (see entry 2/1 above) and there is no breach of planning control. However, officers have liaised with Environmental Health Officers at Lewes District Council and will monitor the site when in the area at other nearby sites.</p>
--	--	---------------------	--	--

**TABLE 3 - NEW BREACHES OF PLANNING CONTROL INVESTIGATED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2023 AND 30 JUNE 2023 AND AS YET UNRESOLVED.**

	<b>DATE LPA BECAME AWARE OF BREACH</b>	<b>SITE ADDRESS</b>	<b>NATURE OF CASE</b>	<b>CURRENT POSITION</b>
3/1	January 2023	Allsworthy, Hailsham Road, Stone Cross	Deposit and burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste was being imported to the site and burnt. A joint site visit with officers from Wealden District Council was undertaken and discussions held with the landowner. The landowner denied that any waste had been imported, although the site had been subject to fly-tipping in the past. The landowner confirmed that burning had taken place, but this was confined to waste arising from the site during extensive clearance of a large field area. Other waste on the site had arisen from the demolition of an outbuilding and was due to be removed.</p> <p>Although a limited amount of waste materials was present at the site, given the landowner's assertions regarding its origin, no firm evidence was apparent to support the complaint of imported waste.</p> <p>The site has been monitored and a further site meeting held with the landowner, during which there did not appear to be any increase in the waste on the site or further burning. It has been agreed with the landowner that another site meeting will be arranged before the case is closed.</p>

3/2	January 2023	Land to the south and west of Allsworthy, Hailsham Road, Stone Cross	Importation, deposit and burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste items were being imported, deposited and burnt at the site. A joint site visit with offices from Wealden District Council was carried out, which confirmed the substance of the complaint. Whilst on site, a chance meeting with the landowner was held. The landowner stated that he undertook hotel clearances and items were taken back to the site. He confirmed that burning had taken place, but had been done in error by a couple of his employees.</p> <p>The landowner agreed to cease burning and importing waste, and to clear the site. A further site visit has been carried out, which noted that the site was substantially cleared and no further burning had taken place.</p> <p>A final inspection is to be carried out prior to the case being closed.</p>
3/3	January 2023	8 Grovelands, Hailsham	Importation, storage, bulking up and sorting of waste	<p>A complaint was received that the owner of the property was undertaking waste collection, bringing it back to the property and storing it in various disused vehicles/vans and also in the garage/back garden, before disposing of it elsewhere.</p> <p>Several site visits have been carried out and discussions held with the landowner. The planning situation has been set out and he has been advised that waste should not be brought back and stored at the property. Following each visit, the use subsides, but then resumes, leading to further complaints.</p> <p>Further unannounced monitoring of the site is scheduled to take place prior to the consideration of further enforcement action.</p>
3/4	January 2023	Cherry Tree Nursery, Hawkhurst Road, Flimwell	Importation and burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported to the site and also that burning was taking place. A joint site visit with an officer from Rother District Council was undertaken but access to the site was denied. Contact was made with the occupier of the site and a further joint site visit carried out.</p> <p>Discussions were held with the occupier who confirmed that he had been given notice to quit the site by the landowner. He indicated that he was having to clear/tidy up the site (after over 25 years occupation) prior to handing it back and admitted to burning materials, including plastic from the old poly tunnels. The materials imported to the site were two loads of subsoil to be used for levelling and making good the ground.</p> <p>Following discussions with officers, the occupier agreed that only green/wood waste</p>

				from within the site would be burnt. Officers are monitoring the site to ensure no further materials are imported and the site is cleared as specified by the occupier.
3/5	February 2023	Land adjacent to reservoir, Cottage Hill, Rotherfield	Use of land by skip business	<p>A complaint was received that the land was being used by a skip business and waste was being brought back to the site. A site visit was carried out and an area of land with two skips found. The land is unregistered and attempts to find the landowner have so far been unsuccessful. Investigations into the alleged skip company have also not produced any tangible results.</p> <p>Further site visits are planned in order to monitor the use of the site and identify any persons of interest. Other avenues of investigation are also being carried out.</p>
3/6	February 2023	Randall's Farm, Broomham Lane, Whitesmith	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials (soils and hardcore) were being imported to and deposited at the site. An initial site visit was carried out but officers were unable to gain access. Contact was made with the landowner and a site meeting held.</p> <p>During the site meeting the landowner explained that several years ago they had moved farms and some materials had arisen from that. Hardcore on site arose from digging out old building footings on the site and soil was from the erection of new farm buildings. Chalk is imported to the site for use on the floors of the cattle barns and in gateways and hardcore is also used for this purpose. Compost is also imported from the nearby composting facility and this is mixed with farmyard manure and used to improve the quality of the soil.</p> <p>The landowner also indicated that he wanted to import subsoil, mix it with compost and use it to raise the levels of a small area of boggy land. He has been advised to contact Wealden District Council, as the relevant planning authority, prior to undertaking any works in this area.</p> <p>Nothing was observed during the site visit which suggested that unauthorised waste activities are taking place. However, a final site visit is due to be carried out before the case is closed.</p>
3/7	February 2023	Land north-east of Eridge Road, Crowborough	Importation and deposit of waste: Landraising.	A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported and deposited, resulting in a change to the landform. A site visit was carried out which confirmed the substance of the complaint and noted that significant quantities of materials had been

				<p>imported, raising the levels of the land.</p> <p>Investigations and liaison with Wealden District Council have identified a complex planning history for the site, including two planning permissions - one for a timber storage barn and storage container on the southern part; and one for the erection of a building to store wood and manufacture timber fencing products on the northern part of the site. It is, however, unclear whether these permissions allow for the alterations of the levels of the land, which would account for the imported materials, or whether the materials have been imported solely as a means of disposal.</p> <p>Further investigations are being carried out and a joint inspection with officers from Wealden District Council and the Environment Agency is due to be carried out.</p>
3/8	March 2023	Timber Yard, Limekiln Forest Road, Eridge Green, Crowborough	Importation, deposit and burning of waste.	<p>During a visit to another site in the locality, officers noticed what appeared to be the storage and burning of waste materials. The primary use of the site appears to be a timber yard, but some of the items noted on site were not consistent with that use.</p> <p>There is no relevant planning history for the site and investigations are continuing. Attempts are being made to contact the landowner and a further site visit is due to be carried out.</p>
3/9	March 2023	Former Hunt Stables, Catsfield, Battle	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials (soils) were being imported and deposited at the site. A site visit was carried out and discussions held with the landowner. The landowner stated that the purpose of the materials was to create a base for a building/barn and they would be applying to Rother District Council (RDC) for permission. He advised that the matter was with his solicitor.</p> <p>Following further contact with RDC, no planning application which would incorporate the materials has been submitted. Further investigations are taking place and a site visit with the Environment Agency is being arranged.</p>
3/10	March 2023	Greenacres, London Road, Battle	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding waste materials (soils and hardcore) being imported to the site. A site visit was carried out which confirmed the substance of the complaint. Despite attending the site, no one was present and attempts are being made to contact the landowner.</p> <p>Officers have been liaising with the Environment Agency, who also have an interest in</p>

				the site, and a joint site visit is planned in early July.
3/11	March 2023	Tanyard Farm, Hooe Road, Ninfield, Battle	Importation and deposit of waste: soils and scrap vehicles:	<p>A complaint was received regarding the importation of waste materials to the site, including lorries entering at night. A site visit was carried out which confirmed the substance of the complaint. Following the site visit a letter was sent to the landowner, however no response has been received and a chaser is being sent.</p> <p>In the meantime, officers are liaising with officers from other agencies as well as gathering further information from other sources.</p>
3/12	April 2023	Land off Norlington Lane, Ringmer	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding waste materials (hardcore) being imported to the site. A site visit was carried out which noted a significant quantity of hardcore on the site. The land is unregistered and there is no recent planning history for the site.</p> <p>Following further site visits and speaking to occupiers of nearby properties, the landowner has been identified and an initial letter is to be sent to ascertain the purpose for the deposit of materials on the site.</p>
3/13	April 2023	Bellhurst Farm, Tilley Lane, Boreham Street,	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding waste materials being imported to the site. During an initial site visit, officers were unable to gain entry. Contact was made with the landowner and a joint site visit with an officer from the Environment Agency arranged.</p> <p>During the course of the visit it was noted that a significant quantity of waste materials, comprising mostly hardcore, but including plastics and metals, had been imported to the site. The landowner stated that he has planning permission for a barn and the materials are to provide a base/foundation for that. There were various other works being undertaken, including excavations for the creation of a car parking area and the siting of storage containers for storage.</p> <p>Following the site visit, further investigations have taken place. Officers are now liaising with officers from Wealden District Council prior to any further action being taken.</p>

3/14	May 2023	Greenmeadow Farm, Holtye Road, Hammerwood, East Grinstead	Importation, deposit, levelling of material	<p>A complaint was received regarding waste materials being imported to the site. A site visit was carried out but access to the site was denied. Contact was made with the landowner and a site meeting arranged. During the site meeting, the landowner stated that the materials had been imported in association with a new access to the site and also other permissions granted by Wealden District Council.</p> <p>Following the site meeting a letter was sent to the landowner and a detailed response has now been received. Further consideration is currently being given to the matter.</p>
3/15	May 2023	Ghyll Road Industrial Estate, Heathfield	Use of land and associated buildings by skip business	<p>Officers visited this site having become aware of the operator's interest in the site. On visiting it was apparent that the site was being used for a skip hire business and the building contained a significant quantity of waste.</p> <p>Discussions were held with the operator and a request for formal pre-application advice has now been submitted and is being dealt with.</p>
3/16	June 2023	Maresfield Household Waste Site, Batts Bridge Road, Maresfield	Breach of conditions - odour	<p>A complaint was received regarding excessive odours emanating from the site; however details of the complaint did not include specific dates/times of the odour. Officers have been in contact with the operator but it has not been possible to identify any particular instances which would have given rise to the complaint. The operator has stated that vehicular damage to a door on the building has resulted in the door being unable to close properly, but due to the location of the door this is unlikely to be the cause of any problem. Odour suppression units are also in operation.</p> <p>No breach of planning control has been identified at this stage. Officers will continue to monitor the site and liaise with the operator.</p>
3/17	June 2023	Quarry Farm, Bodiam,	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding a significant number of lorries entering the site, and depositing waste materials (soils). Rother District Council have visited the site and been advised by the landowner that the works are in connection with an agricultural Prior Notification issued by this Authority.</p> <p>A site meeting has been arranged with the landowner for 7<sup>th</sup> July 2023 to assess the works and check they are in conformity with the details previously submitted by the landowner.</p>



3/18	June 2023	Oaklands Farm, Newick Lane, Heathfield,	Importation and deposit of waste (soils)	A complaint was received regarding waste materials being imported to the site. A site visit was carried out but officers were unable to gain access. A further site visit is currently being arranged.
3/19	June 2023	(Former) Broad Oak Primary School, Scotsford Road, Broad Oak, Heathfield	Alleged car repair, vehicle breaking and woodwork taking place	<p>A complaint was received that the site was being used for vehicle repairs/breaking and also woodworking, which was causing a noise nuisance. A site visit has been carried out and although no one was present on site, it was clear the former school building and premises are being used for residential purposes.</p> <p>There were several vehicles on site and some had the appearance of being repaired, but there was no evidence of any vehicle breaking/dismantling. There was some evidence of woodworking taking place in a covered outside area.</p> <p>The breach of planning control is a change of use from educational to residential and discussions are currently taking place with officers in the Property team of the Business Services Department.</p>
3/20	June 2023	Giffords Farm, Battle Road, Dallington	Importation and deposit of waste, creation of haul road and infilling of a pond.	<p>A complaint was received regarding the importation of waste for a number of purposes at the site. An initial site visit was undertaken but officers were unable to gain access.</p> <p>A joint site visit with the Environment Agency is currently being arranged.</p>
3/21	June 2023	Land at The Meadow - Rear of 4 Stream Cottages, Maynards Green Road, Horam	Importation and deposit of waste from landscaping business.	<p>A complaint was received regarding the importation and deposit of waste, and storage of other items, associated to a local landscaping business.</p> <p>An initial site visit is due to be undertaken.</p>
3/22	June 2023	Bramley Farm, Bay Tree Lane, Polegate	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding the importation and deposit of waste to the site.</p> <p>An initial site visit is due to be undertaken.</p>

**TABLE 4 - OUTSTANDING CASES SUBJECT TO ONGOING ACTION**

	<b>DATE LPA BECAME AWARE OF BREACH</b>	<b>SITE ADDRESS</b>	<b>NATURE OF CASE</b>	<b>CURRENT POSITION</b>
4/1	August 2019	Penfold Driveways, AS Farm, The Warren, Crowborough	Importation, deposit, and processing of waste (soils and hardcore)	<p>A joint site visit undertaken by officers from this Authority and the Environment Agency found that a significant quantity of waste materials, comprising soils, sub-soils and hardcore, had been imported into the site and deposited. The soils were being processed on site by means of a screener. A letter was sent to the operator requesting details of the nature and purpose of the activity and a response was received.</p> <p>Since the previous correspondence, further contact was made with the operator, who advised the Environment Agency that some key people involved in the company had suddenly left and set up a rival company, leaving the operator to sort out the issues at this site. Further discussions took place regarding agreeing a timescale for the removal of the materials. As a result of the Coronavirus pandemic lockdowns, the operator had not been able to remove much of the waste that was stored on the site. However, progress has now been made and some of the waste has now been removed.</p> <p>A further site visit has been undertaken, which found that a significant quantity of the imported waste material had been removed from the site. Officers have continued to monitor the site to ensure that ongoing progress is maintained. In addition, a letter is being sent to the overall landowner of the site regarding several uses on this site and adjoining sites.</p>
4/2	January 2020	Meadow Farm, Road Hill, Isfield	Importation and deposit of waste (soils and hardcore)	<p>In 2018 a complaint was received alleging that lorry loads of waste materials, comprising soils and hardcore, had been imported into the site and deposited. Joint site visits were undertaken with officers from the Environment Agency and Wealden District Council, and meetings were also held with the landowner and operators. Wealden District Council invited an application, which sought to retain the deposited materials on site to be used in several engineering operations. That authority</p>

				<p>eventually decided that they could not entertain such an application and returned the application and fee to the landowner.</p> <p>The matter was then referred back to the County Council to deal with as a County Matter. Officers held an initial site meeting with the landowner (February 2020). At that time the whole area was so waterlogged as to be impassable, and the removal of the materials was not feasible. Since the initial meeting, the Coronavirus Pandemic prevented further progress in this matter. However, contact was maintained with the landowner in order to progress matters.</p> <p>A further meeting was then held with the landowner and an initial course of action was agreed, which was the moving of the bunds of material to outside of the crown spread of the trees. Initially there had been no progress made because of the waterlogged ground conditions and the site needed a considerable period of dry weather to improve the ground conditions to allow work to start. However, works were then commenced to remove the bunds and a subsequent site inspection noted that the landowner had moved the imported materials away from the crown spread of the affected trees.</p> <p>Numerous inspections have been carried out and a meeting held with the landowner. Further works to resolve the breach of planning control were identified and agreed with the landowner, together with a timetable for their completion. Officers are monitoring the site to review progress. A further site meeting with the landowner is due to be scheduled to check progress with the remedial works and it is anticipated this will be in early August.</p>
4/3	April 2021	Crockstead Farm Hotel, Halland	Importation and deposit of waste – soils	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials, comprising soils, were being imported into the site and deposited. A site visit was undertaken, during which a meeting was held with the operator undertaking the works, who admitted that materials had been imported into the site to improve an existing access track and to improve the land.</p> <p>At the time of the site visit, the landowner was away. A letter was sent to the landowner and a meeting was subsequently held with the site manager who explained that the materials were imported to improve the land for equestrian grazing.</p> <p>The materials imported included soils, which contained a significant quantity of hardcore, metal and plastics, which would eventually work through to the finished surface of the site. This material was not considered to be suitable for its intended</p>

				<p>purpose and, after considering the situation, the County Council required the materials to be removed and the original landform to be restored. The operator/landowner was given an initial timescale for these remedial works to be undertaken.</p> <p>Contact has been maintained with the landowner, who has had surveys and analysis of the materials undertaken. A planning agent has been appointed by the landowner and discussions regarding the resolution of the matter are ongoing.</p> <p>Officers are intending to shortly visit the site to assess the current situation.</p>
4/4	June 2021	Former HT Drinks Site, Endeavour Works, Beach Road, Newhaven	Importation and bulking up of waste tyres.	<p>A complaint was received that waste tyres were being imported into this site and were being bulked up. A joint site visit was undertaken with an officer from the Environment Agency, which confirmed the substance of the complaint.</p> <p>A meeting and subsequent correspondence was had with the site operator and agent, and the requirement for planning permission and an environmental permit explained. The operator stated his intention to apply for planning permission and an environmental permit, and a timescale was agreed for him to submit the necessary applications.</p> <p>The agreed timescale for the submission of a planning application expired without any application being submitted. Officers therefore undertook a further site visit to check the situation at the site. This confirmed that the site was continuing to be used for the unauthorised waste activity.</p> <p>Further contact was made with the operator, who stated that the planning application was being prepared and would be submitted in the next few days. Following that conversation, the operator's agent contacted the County Council to state that there would be a delay in the submission of the application as he was away from work and a further extension of time was therefore agreed.</p> <p>No planning application was forthcoming, and the unauthorised activity continued. A Temporary Stop Notice was therefore served on the landowner, operator and interested parties on 12 November 2021, which ceased the waste activity on the site.</p> <p>Following the service of the Temporary Stop Notice, the operator submitted a planning application (LW/860/CM) relating to the waste tyre processing on the site, including by pyrolysis, and this application is currently under consideration. There have been</p>

				delays following the requirement for additional details relating to the proposal and the application currently has an extension of time for determination until 30 September 2023.
4/5	June 2021	Spring Valley Farm, West Street Lane, Maynards Green	Importation and deposit of household waste	<p>A complaint was received that household waste was being imported into this site in small vans by different operators and deposited.</p> <p>A joint site visit was carried out with the Environment Agency, which confirmed the details contained within the complaint. A meeting was also held with the landowner, who agreed to halt any further importation into the site and to clear the site of the waste that had already been imported.</p> <p>There are a number of issues relating to the site and, due to the landowner's circumstances, multiple agencies are working with the landowner and his family to ensure that no further waste materials are imported and that the site is cleared.</p> <p>Site visits and meetings with the landowner have been undertaken, which confirmed that the importation of waste into the site has ceased. Various factors have prevented any significant removal of the imported waste from the site. However, officers are maintaining contact with other agencies and the landowner, and also ensuring that the importation of waste materials to the site does not resume.</p>
4/6	November 2021	Hazelwood, Cansiron Lane, Cowden, Edenbridge	Importation and deposit of waste (soils)	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials, comprising soils and hardcore, were being imported into the site and deposited. A site visit was undertaken, during the course of which a meeting was held with the landowner. It was noted that a significant quantity of soils and hardcore had been imported into the site. The landowner explained that some of the materials were required to build up pond banks on the site, and more material was required to landscape a steep bank on the site.</p> <p>In order to try and resolve this matter, a further site meeting was arranged with the landowner, his operator and officers from the Environment Agency and Wealden District Council. The landowner agreed to submit a planning application to Wealden District Council to seek regularisation of the engineering works to the bank and he also agreed to remove the stockpile of waste materials from the site.</p> <p>A final site visit is due to be carried out to ensure that any surplus material has been removed from the site as agreed.</p>

4/7	March 2022	Land to the rear of Sussex Oak, Oak Lane, Blackham	Importation and deposit of waste - soils	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials, comprising soils, were being imported and deposited at the site. A site visit was carried out and a chance meeting with the operator and landowner held. It was identified that subsoil had been imported to the site, with the intention to level an area of land and re-plant it as an orchard and mixed leaf woodland.</p> <p>Following the site visit, a formal letter was sent to the landowner and a response received. According to the landowner, since owning the property, he had spent several years clearing historic waste tipped on the site, which resulted in an area of uneven and unproductive land. The landowner's intention was to restore this land and a small amount of topsoil was required to complete this.</p> <p>A further site visit is required to ensure the works have been carried out as set out by the landowner and also that no further waste soils have been imported.</p>
4/8	June 2022	Skilton's Skips, AS Farm, The Warren Crowborough	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding a potential skip business being operated from the site. An initial site visit was carried out which confirmed the nature of the complaint.</p> <p>Further site visits have been undertaken and discussions held with the operator, who is seeking to regularise the use. There are adjoining sites which are also being investigated, which is complicated by landownership issues and boundary disputes. A letter is due to be sent to the person understood to be the overarching landowner regarding the various issues and how he intends to proceed. In the meantime, the site is continuing to be monitored by officers and other investigations are ongoing.</p>
4/9	June 2022	Old Concrete Works, Tilley Lane, Boreham Street	Deposit/burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported to and burnt at the site. An initial site visit was carried out but access to the site was denied. Following discussions with the landowner, a comprehensive site inspection was arranged and undertaken. This identified a significant quantity of waste materials that appeared to have been imported to the site, although the landowner stated that some were site derived following excavations and the construction of a new barn on the site.</p> <p>Following the site visit and meeting, a letter was sent to the landowner and a response received. The further importation of any further waste materials has currently ceased.</p> <p>Due to the complexity and scale of the site, a further site meeting has been arranged</p>

				with the landowner and additional officers from this Authority, which is due to take place mid-July. The purpose of the site meeting is to identify specific works that can be undertaken to resolve the breach of planning control.
4/10	June 2022	AM Skips & Plant Hire, Hazelbank, London Road, Maresfield,	Breach of conditions – noise and site layout	<p>During a site monitoring visit it was noted that the layout of the site was not in accordance with the approved plans. Subsequent complaints were also received regarding excessive noise emanating from the site and its operations.</p> <p>Numerous site visits were carried out and meetings held with the operator and also the Environmental Health Officer (EHO) from Wealden District Council (WDC). In respect to noise, WDC have previously served a Noise Abatement Notice on the operator and the EHO identified certain measures which could be implemented to address the noise issues, such as acoustic fencing. However, such measures require planning permission due to their scale.</p> <p>The operator has now submitted a planning application (WD/876/CM) for the erection of three metres high boundary treatment (acoustic fencing) to the north-western boundary of the site. The application is currently under consideration.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the submission of a planning application to address the noise issues at the site, with regard to the remaining breaches no satisfactory progress has been made to resolve these. Consequently, a Section 330 Notice (Requisition for Information) has been served on the operator as a precursor to the instigation of formal enforcement proceedings. Whilst a response to the Notice has been received, any further formal enforcement action is in abeyance pending the determination of the planning application.</p>
4/11	June 2022	Magreed Farm (previously Hilltop Farm), Magreed Lane, Broad Oak, Heathfield	Importation and deposit of waste, including building materials and disused vehicles.	<p>A complaint was received regarding the use of this site for the importation and storage of waste materials and other items. A site visit was carried out and discussions held with the landowners. During the site visit, it was noted that there was a considerable quantity of waste materials at the site, albeit in a relatively limited area.</p> <p>The landowners claimed that much of the waste was inherited from the previous owner when they purchased the farm and that they were in the process of gradually clearing the waste and disposing of it off site. There was no evidence to dispute this, and historic records tend to support this. The landowners were advised that no new waste should be imported to the site.</p>

				In order to ensure that the landowners are progressing the clearance of the historic waste, the site has been monitored periodically and a further site visit is due to be carried out before the end of July.
4/12	July 2022	The Plantation, Ersham Road, Stone Cross	Importation, processing and deposit of waste	<p>A complaint was received regarding a significant number of lorries entering the site and depositing waste materials. A joint site visit with an officer from the Environment Agency was undertaken and a chance meeting with the landowner held. It was noted that a substantial volume of waste materials, including what appeared to be trommel fines, hardcore, subsoil and timber, had been imported to the site and deposited. Processing plant was also noted to be on the site.</p> <p>The landowner stated he was carrying out works associated with a Prior Notification approval he had in relation to raising the levels of land to return it to productive agricultural use. However, the scale of the works goes beyond this and the nature of some of the materials stockpiled were unsuitable for this use. The landowner was advised to cease any further works, including the importation of any further materials, pending the outcome of investigations.</p> <p>Due to the scale and nature of the works, a Planning Contravention Notice was served on the landowner and a "Time and Place" meeting held, which was attended by the landowner, his solicitor, and other representatives. Since this meeting, a further, extensive site inspection has been undertaken with the landowner, in addition to a later joint site meeting with officers from Wealden District Council and the landowner.</p> <p>A letter has been sent to the landowner further to the various site meetings and the Council's position has been set out. A full response to that letter is currently awaited before consideration is given to the next steps required to resolve this breach of planning control.</p>
4/13	September 2022	Sussex Waste Management, Whitworth Road, St Leonards-on-Sea	Breach of conditions (numerous)	<p>During a site monitoring visit, a number of breaches of the planning permission for the site (HS/817/CM) were noted. Discussions were held with the operator and the breaches pointed out. A further site meeting was held with the operator and his agent in order to agree how to resolve the breaches.</p> <p>A planning application to vary conditions attached to the planning permission and attempt to regularise matters was submitted but could not be validated. A revised</p>



				<p>planning application (HS/869/CM) to vary conditions was submitted and subsequently approved under delegated powers.</p> <p>However, the recently approved planning application does not resolve all the breaches of planning control at the site. The next steps required to resolve matters are currently being considered.</p>
4/14	October 2022	Sussex Mini Skips, Syles Cottages, Rickney Lane, Hailsham	Use of farm buildings for skip business involving the importation, bulking up, transfer, deposit and burning of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste was being imported into the site and burnt. A joint site visit was carried out with an officer from the Environment Agency which confirmed the substance of the complaint. During the course of the site visit, discussions were held with the operator who confirmed the land in question was being used by his skip business.</p> <p>The operator indicated that he would seek planning permission to regularise the use of the site. Letters were sent to the operator, but no responses received. A Planning Contravention Notice (PCN) was therefore prepared and served on the operator.</p> <p>Since the service of the PCN, according to the operator, the site has been cleared and vacated. A final site inspection is required to confirm the breach of planning control has been resolved.</p>
4/15	October 2022	East Lodge, Glynleigh Road, Westham	Importation and deposit of waste	<p>Complaints were received regarding waste being imported to the site. A site visit was carried out, which confirmed the complaints. Contact was made with the landowner, who was advised to cease the importation of any further materials. A further site meeting was then held with the landowner, who stated that the materials had been imported in order to repair tracks and raise levels of land prone to waterlogging. Processing of some of the materials was also being undertaken to make them suitable for use.</p> <p>No further materials have been imported and a site meeting with the landowner and other officers from this Authority has been arranged for mid-July. The purpose of the meeting is to identify any works that can be undertaken in order to resolve the breach of planning control.</p>
4/16	November 2022	Sussex Timber Products Limited, Owlsbury Depot,	Importation, processing and storage of waste	<p>A complaint was received that waste materials were being imported to the site, processed and stored. It was also alleged that waste was being stored in a manner to cause structural concerns and risk of slippage onto the adjoining highway. An initial</p>

		Hadlow Down Road, Crowborough		<p>site visit was carried out, which confirmed the existence of a significant quantity of waste at the site and also potentially unsafe/dangerous structures.</p> <p>A further site visit was carried out and a meeting held with the operator and an officer from Wealden District Council's Building Control. A programme of remedial works was agreed in order to make safe the unstable retaining structure. A subsequent site visit confirmed that the remedial works had been carried out.</p> <p>In respect of the waste materials at the site, these have been generated from the operator's business and are used in groundworks. However, a planning application was submitted to Wealden District Council for a new building and use on the site, which would result in the current operations and use of the site ceasing. The planning application has subsequently been approved and a final site visit is required to confirm whether the case can be closed.</p>
4/17	November 2022	FM Conway Ltd, North Quay Road, Newhaven	Breach of conditions and excessive emissions	<p>During a site monitoring visit it was noted that the site layout was not in full accordance with the planning permission for the site (LW/840/CM) and also that details pursuant to several conditions were outstanding. Contact was also made with Environmental Health Officers at Lewes District Council concerning potential breaches of the Environmental Permit in relation to emissions.</p> <p>Numerous site visits and meetings have taken place. The operator has submitted details pursuant to some of the outstanding conditions and also undertaken significant works regarding emissions and breaches of the Environmental Permit.</p> <p>Whilst positive progress has been made to resolve the various matters, there remain outstanding issues relating to both the planning permission and Environmental Permit. Matters are ongoing and the next joint site meeting with an officer from Environmental Health and the site operator is scheduled for 5<sup>th</sup> July 2023.</p>
4/18	December 2022	Diplocks, Bishops Lane, Ringmer	Storage of animal carcasses	<p>A complaint was received that animal carcasses were being brought back to the site and transferred into storage containers, giving rise to odour issues and ground pollution. It was also alleged that autopsies of dead animals were taking place in the open. A joint site visit was undertaken with an officer from Trading Standards and discussions held with the operator at the site. At the time of the site visit, no carcasses were found to be at the site and no evidence of unauthorised storage.</p>

				<p>The site has been monitored periodically and no breaches of planning control have been identified. However, given the sensitive nature of the complaint, officers are continuing to carry out unannounced visits to monitor activities at the site.</p>
4/19	December 2022	Ministry of Metals, Former Household Waste Site, Station Road, Forest Row	Use of the site for scrap metal storage and processing	<p>A complaint was received that scrap metal was being imported to the site and stored in an unsafe and unsightly manner, particularly in relation to one of the boundaries of the site. A site visit was carried out, which confirmed the unauthorised use of the site. Discussions were held with the operator, who agreed to remove the metals stored along the boundary of the site. This was subsequently done.</p> <p>Although the site is a former household waste site, the use as a metal recycling facility does not have planning permission. The operator since submitted a planning application (WD/874/CM) which is currently under consideration.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank